



## A cE-Bs2019LangkawiIsland

https://www.amerabra.org; https://fspu.uitm.edu.my/cebs; https://www.emasemasresources.com 8th Asian Conference on Environment-Behaviour Studies, Holiday Villa Resorts & Spa, Langkawi Island, Malaysia, 18-19 Dec 2019



# Patient Experience and Perspective towards Optometry Students during Eye Examination and Consultation in UiTM Vision Care Clinic

### Elly Liyana Zainodin, Nur Afiqah Mohd Nor Azmi

Centre of Optometry, Faculty of Health Sciences, Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Selangor, Kampus Puncak Alam, 42300 Selangor, Malaysia

> ellyzainodin@gmail.com, nurafiqahazmi961@gmail.com Tel: 0149678687

#### Abstract

Clinical training for optometry students is a gateway for exposure in eye care management. Understanding patient experience and satisfaction of being examined by students is essential to improve clinical competency and education. A survey was done to determine patient experience and perspective among 228 adults who had eye examinations at the UiTM Vision Care clinic. Overall, the majority of the patients rated the care as good (96%) and believed it is vital for students to examine patients (93%). Patients of the fourth-year students were reportedly more happy for future student involvement. Concerns on lengthy examination recommend necessary measures.

Keywords: patient experience; optometry; students; clinical education

eISSN: 2398-4287 © 2019. The Authors. Published for AMER ABRA cE-Bs by e-International Publishing House, Ltd., UK. This is an open access article under the CC BYNC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). Peer-review under responsibility of AMER (Association of Malaysian Environment-Behaviour Researchers), ABRA (Association of Behavioural Researchers on Asians) and cE-Bs (Centre for Environment-Behaviour Studies), Faculty of Architecture, Planning & Surveying, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21834/e-bpj.v4i12.1932

#### **1.0 Introduction**

All universities offering optometry courses in Malaysia provide clinical training for their third and fourth-year students. Optometry students obtain clinical experiences and exposure to a variety of optometric cases through their clinical training sessions. Student involvement in clinical training is essential to enhance their skills, to build their confidence in communication, and to increase their experience in managing eye problems. With proper and sufficient clinical training, students are expected to have more confidence and competent by the time of their graduation. However, the key to those outcomes is the students' ability to interact and communicate with the patients effectively. Excellent communication is critical in building the optometrist-patient relationship and is vital in ensuring a successful provision of eye care services (Brandenburg & Pesudovs, 2014). DiMatteo & Hays (1980) stated that effective communication is a critical component in medical care that demands a good explanation of the diagnosis and treatment to enhance the outcome of treatment as their judgments influence their behaviour and commitment. In clinical training of optometry students, patient's involvement and cooperation in the examination could be depending on their satisfaction and experience of being examined by the examiner. Therefore, understanding the patient satisfaction and experience from being examined by clinical optometry students is crucial as it helps the students to improve their approach and interaction with patients.

#### 2.0 Literature review

Several studies have been done to investigate patients' experiences and attitudes during consultations (Howe & Anderson, 2003; Mol, Peelen, & Kuyvenhoven, 2011; Sayed-Hassan, Bashour, & Koudsi, 2012). Those studies focusing on medical students reported more

eISSN: 2398-4287 © 2019. The Authors. Published for AMER ABRA cE-Bs by e-International Publishing House, Ltd., UK. This is an open access article under the CC BYNC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). Peer–review under responsibility of AMER (Association of Malaysian Environment-Behaviour Researchers), ABRA (Association of Behavioural Researchers on Asians) and cE-Bs (Centre for Environment-Behaviour Studies), Faculty of Architecture, Planning & Surveying, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia. POI: https://doi.org/10.2183/t0.2

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21834/e-bpj.v4i12.1932

positive feedback than negative feedback from the patient with regards to consultations. Choudhury et al. (2006) found that elderly patients seemed to be more favourable towards medical student involvement in their care. Those who have prior experience having medical students during consultations also found to be the ones more likely to give positive feedbacks compared to the first-timer patients. Benson, Quince, Hibble, Fanshawe, & Emery (2005) reported that patients generally support the teaching of medical students to practice as they felt good about contributing to student learning.

A search of the literature revealed only one study which evaluates the patient's experience and perception of optometry students' examination. The study by Bentley, Trevaskis, Woods, Guest, & Watt, (2018) investigates patient satisfaction of consultation in teaching and non-teaching clinics. They reported that the majority of patients in the clinical teaching clinic were comfortable with the optometry students involved in their clinical care. Prolong examination was the main reason for those who were unwilling to have students participating in their consultation. Understanding the patients' experience and perception is essential to improve student competency. However, no local survey has investigated the patient experience and perception from attending student optometry consultation at the internal university clinic. This study, therefore, sets out to determine the patients attending UiTM Vision Care Clinic experience and perception towards optometry students' examination and consultations. Additionally, this study evaluates whether there is a difference in the patient experience and perception with different years of clinical training.

#### 3.0 Methodology

#### 3.1 Materials of the study

The patient experience and perception from participating in the optometry student examination and consultations in UiTM Vision Care Clinic were evaluated using a questionnaire in cross-sectional study design. The questionnaire was adopted from the previous study by Bentley et al., (2018) that surveyed the impact of supervised student optometry consultations on the patient experience. The original set of the questionnaire was in English. The questionnaire was translated into the Malay language to suit the targeted participants. Several original questions were removed from the questionnaire when identified as unrelated to the objective of the current study. A draft version of the survey was pilot-tested on five individuals to ensure clarity of the questions and was accordingly revised. The final questionnaire comprised 30 questions divided into three sections; (A) the demographics, (B) care and treatment received in the clinic, (C) patient's overall experience. Most questions (28) were closed-ended questions in a multiple-choice format, while two were open-ended questions. All open-ended responses coded by two researchers independently and a discrepancy in any of the coded answers were discussed together until a mutual agreement of the final theme was achieved.

#### 3.2 Procedures

The questionnaires were distributed to a total of 228 patients attending the UiTM Vision Care Clinic from February to April 2019. The questionnaires were distributed equally to patients of the third year (114) and fourth year (114) students to allow comparison. The questionnaire was self-administered, and it took about 10 minutes to complete. Subjects excluded from the study were pediatric patients or patients identified as optometry students or staff. Ethics approval was obtained from the UiTM Research Ethics Committee.

#### 3.3 Data analysis

Statistical analysis using the SPSS statistical software program (SPSS 21.0) was performed. Descriptive statistics were computed. The chi-square test was done at  $\alpha$ =0.05 significance level to assess the differences in patient experiences between groups.

#### 4.0 Findings

#### 4.1 Patient characteristics

Of the 228 patients, the majority were Malay (n=223) females (n=186), aged between 18 to 25 years (n=198), and has a university degree (n=150).

#### 4.2 Overall patient experience in UiTM Vision Care Clinic

Of the 228 patients seen in UiTM Vision Care Clinic, 95% perceived the receptionist staff as helpful, and 97% reported that they were treated with respect and dignity. The majority of the patients (85%) knew that optometry students received their training at the UiTM Vision Care Clinic, felt that they contributed to student learning (85%), and believed that it is essential for students to work with patients (93%). Most of the patients reported the optometrist, and students worked very well together (76%), and they did not felt annoyed at repeating information to the student or the clinical supervisor (71%). When the patients were asked whether their eye was in better care with the presence of the student, 76% agreed that their care was better with student involvement, with 96% rated the overall care they received as very good or good (Table 1).

Patients were asked via open-ended questions to comment about their overall eye care experience in UiTM Vision Care Clinic. Fiftyone provided comments, with the majority of patients, gave positive feedback about their experiences in the clinic (47 comments), whereas only four patients expressed their experience negatively. Both positive and negative comments were grouped according to similar themes and summarized in Table 2. Themes surfaced as positive were good services at the clinic (15 comments), good explanation on eye care by the students (7 comments), and comprehensive eye examination (1 comment). There were twenty-two positive comments were non-specific to any themes. Long examination time (3 comments) and incompetent student (1 comment), on the other hand, denotes negative feedbacks from the patients.

Question	Response	Frequency (%)
Receptionist helpful	Yes, definitely	217(95)
	Yes, to some extent	11(5)
	No	0 )
Clinical supervisor introduction	Do not know/cannot remember	8(3)
	Some of the staff introduced themselves	27(12)
	Very few or none of the staff introduced themselves	6(3)
	Yes, all of the staff introduced themselves	187(82)
Knowing students trained at UiTM Vision	Yes	194(85)
Care Clinic	No	28(12)
	Not sure	6(<1)
Annoyed at repeating information	No	162(71)
,	This did not happen	46(20)
	Yes, a little	14(6)
	Yes, definitely	6(3)
Believe it is important for students to work	Yes, completely	211(93)
with patients	Yes, to some extent	17(7)
····· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	No	0
Feel contributed to student learning	Yes, completely	194(85)
	Yes, to some extent	34(15)
	No	0
Treated with respect and dignity	Yes, completely	222(97)
1 0 9	Yes, to some extent	6(3)
	No	0`´
How well the optometrist and student	Very poor	0
worked together	Poor	0
-	Fair	8(4)
	Good	47(20)
	Very good	173(76)
Optometrist and student talked as if you	Yes. often	7(3)
were not there	Yes, sometimes	20(9)
	No	201(88)
Better care because of student	Yes, completely	173(76)
Involved	Yes, to some extent	52(23)
	No	3(1)
Overall rating of care	Very poor	0
	Poor	Õ
	Fair	8(4)
	Good	38(16)
	Very good	182(80)

#### Table 2. Comments about overall eye care experience from patients in UiTM Vision Care Clinic Negative experiences

Positive Experiences		Negative experiences			
Theme	No. of responses	Examples of response	Theme	No. of responses	Examples of responses
Good services	15	"Excellent services". "Very professional in doing their job". "Service provided is good".	Long chair time	3	"Took a long time to finish the examination".
Good explanation on eye care/ informative	7	"Clear in explaining the treatments given". "I received much input". "The student was so friendly and knowledgeable as well as willing to learn from the supervisor". "I was so happy with the result, and I felt relieved to know my eyes are fine". "I gain sufficient information about my eyes and comfortable with the treatment given".	Student competency	1	"The students need to have good clinical skills and improve their skills".
Positive Experiences		Negative experiences			
Theme	No. of responses	Examples of response	Theme	No. of responses	Examples of responses
The eye examination is comprehensive	1	"The examination was very thorough".			

Others	4	"The environment at UiTM Vision Care	
		Clinic is good".	
		"No, I am very satisfied with how I was	
		treated as well as cared for, and the	
		amiable environment made me feel at	
		ease".	
		"I will come again because I am not	
		afraid to share my eye problem".	

**4.3 Comparison of the patient experience examined by third-year optometry students versus fourth-year optometry students** Table 3 compares the patient experience between those who were examined by the third-year optometry students and those examined by the fourth-year students. There were no significant differences between the two groups in the perception of student professionalism, confidence, and trust toward the student, and both groups feel comfortable with the student (p>0.05). Both groups of patients also had their permission sought for student involvement in their eye examination (p=0.08). However, the overall performance of the fourth-year students was rated better than the third-year students (p=0.01). More patients examined by the fourth-year students reported that they are happy to have student involvement in their eye care again (p=0.03), they were willing to return to the clinic in the future (p=0.02), and that the student communicates with them appropriately (p=0.001). Between the two groups, third-year students have seen more patients (82%) who had their first experience of an eye examination with a student (p=0.03). A significant difference in the time spent at the clinic between the two groups of patients was reported (p<0.001), where more third-year students (20%) examined their patients longer than 2 hours in the clinic than the fourth-year students (8%).

Patients of both groups were further asked to explain the reason for their willingness or reluctance to have a student involved in their eye care again (Table 4). Several themes emerged from the responses among those who were willing. The majority of patients in both groups indicate the need for a student to have opportunities to learn and have real patient experience as their main reason. Two patients were stating they were not willing to have student involvement again because the examination takes a long time were patients of the third-year. Another two patients were uncertain if they would want a student to be involved in their examination again because the examination took a long time to complete and that they doubted the accuracy of the examination performed by the student.

Table 3. Comparison of patient experience examined by third year entemptry students versus fourth year entemptry students

Question	Response	The third year, n (%)	Forth year, n (%)	p-value
First experience with student	Yes	94(82)	81(71)	0.03
	No	18(16)	33(29)	
	Not sure	2(2)	0	
Permission sought for	Yes	108(95)	103(90)	0.08
student involvement	No	0	5(4)	
	Not sure	6(5)	6(6)	
Length of time at the clinic	Less than 30 minutes	0	4(4)	0.000
	30 minutes to 1 hour	13(11)	32(28)	
	More than 1 hour but less than 2	78(68)	69(60)	
	hours			
	More than 2 hours	23(20)	9(8)	
Thoughts on the amount of	About right	85(75)	90(79)	0.34
time spent at the clinic	Slightly too short	1(1)	2(2)	
-	Slightly too long	22(19)	18(15)	
	Much too short	1(Ì)	3(3)	
	Much too long	5(4)	1(1)	
Comfortable with student	Yes, completely	107(94)	110(96)	0.35
	Yes, to some extent	7(6)	4(4)	
	No	0`´	0	
Treated with respect and	Yes, completely	104(91)	114(100)	0.001
dignity by student	Yes, to some extent	10(9)	0	
	No	0	0	
Students were professional	Yes, completely	109(96)	113(99)	0.10
·	Yes, to some extent	5(4)	1(1)	
	No	0`´	0	
Student communicated	Yes, completely	104(91)	114(100)	0.001
appropriately	Yes, to some extent	10(9)	0 ` ´	
	No	0	0	
Question	Response	The third year, n (%)	Forth year, n (%)	p-value
Confidence and trust in the	Yes, completely	99(87)	107(94)	0.07
student	Yes, to some extent	15(13)	7(6)	
	No	0	0	
Overall rating of student	Very poor	0	0	0.01
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Poor	0	0	
	Fair	1(1)	õ	
	Good	37(32)	19(17)	
	Very good	76(67)	95(83)	
Happy to have a student	Yes	98(63)	109(96)	0.03
involved in care again	Maybe	13(11)	5(4)	0.00
involved in care adain				

Would return to the clinic in future		Yes	89(78)		102(89)	0.02
		No Maybe	0 25(22)		0 12(11)	
		Maybe	23(22)		12(11)	
		Table 4. Rea	asons for willingness to I	nave a student aga	ain (n= 67)	
		Third-year students		Fourth-year studen	ts	
Willingness	Theme	No. of responses	Examples of responses	No. of responses	Examples of responses	
Yes	Opportunity to learn for the students	10	"They can experience new cases for learning". "They can have real experience to enhance their skills". "They can learn from their mistakes".	14		gether". to help other students to nowledge and practice in the
	Student's communication	4	"A fun way of examination and clear in explaining".	9	the eye examination".	ommunicate with students in
S - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - S	Comfortable with students	4	"I feel more comfortable".	6	know the purpose of ea examination".	ng eye problems and can ch procedure in the eye
	Completeness of examination	0		2	"The examination was t	horough".
	Student's competency	1	"Good in handling patients".	1	"The student was very	good".
	Student's positive attitude	3	"The student examines with cautious in each procedure". "Because I feel comfortable, and the way she treated me, I feel like she is professional." "Polite".	5	"Professionalism". "The student was nice a	and polite".
	Others	2	"Trust in their examination because there is a supervisor to guide them". "Good because the supervisor checked all the procedure".	2	the eyes and how to ca	m, and I feel happy that I can
No		2	"It is taking a long time, and every test needs to double-check by the supervisor, so it takes a long time". "Take a too long time".			
Maybe		2	"Take time too long time : "Take time too long to finish the examination". "Not sure whether the testing was done was accurate or not".			

#### 5.0 Discussion

The results of this study showed a high degree of patient satisfaction on the overall care received at the UiTM Vision Care clinic. Positive patient experience rated by the majority of patients (96%) attributed to excellent services in the clinic and good student explanations on eye care. These results are in agreement with that previously obtained by Bentley et al., (2018) among optometry students in the teaching clinic setting. Similar to finding on this study, a majority of their patients from the teaching clinic (98%) also rated the care they received as good or excellent. Additionally, their patients similarly remarked happiness with the teaching clinic services for their positive experience in the clinic.

In other healthcare areas such as medical settings, findings were consistent that student participation does not affect patient satisfaction (Haffling & Håkansson, 2008; Monnickendam, Vinker, Zalewski, Cohen, & Kitai, 2001; Vaughn, Rickborn, & Davis, 2015). However, the level of the student training year, age, and previous patient experience with a student were factors seem to influence the patient's attitude toward student involvement in medical student consultation (Choudhury et al., 2006). A comparison between optometry students of different years of clinical training in this study revealed a significant difference in student performance. More patients examined by the fourth-year students were willing to have a student involvement again and would return to the clinic compared to patients of the third-year. The main reason for the patients' willingness to have a student again was their desire to allow the students to learn to contribute to their education. The previous studies reported the same reason for patient acceptance with regards to optometry

students (Bentley et al., 2018) and medical student involvement (Chipp, Stoneley, & Cooper, 2004; Haffling & Håkansson, 2008). Other reasons reported in this study were good student communication skills, and that the patient felt comfortable with the students.

The present finding also revealed that the fourth-year students significantly communicated better with their patients than third-year students and spent the lesser time to examine their patients. Due to the prolonged testing, a few of the third-year patients indicate they were reluctant to have a student again. The significant difference in the perspective and experience encountered by the patients was probably due to the difference in skills of the two groups of optometry students. The third-year students were in their first semester of clinical training during the data investigation thus may have less experience in patient handling skills compared with the fourth-year who were in their final semester of clinical training.

Good communication skills portrayed by a practitioner is critical in the optometrist-patient relationship, thus could result in a more positive outcome of examinations and consultations. Choudhury et al. (2006) presented in their study that younger patients are more likely to hold negative views when being examined by young students. Surprisingly, the young patients in this study indicate that they are more comfortable to share their eye problems with the optometry students in the clinic. A possible explanation for this might be because of the close approximation of age between the patients attending the UiTM Vision Care clinic, whose majority were young university students, and the optometry students who examined their eyes that made them feel more comfortable to ask questions and shared their eye problems.

#### 6.0 Limitations of Study

Several limitations exist in this study. Since the majority of patients attending UiTM Vision Care Clinic were young students aged between 18 to 25 years, findings are less generalizable to older patients, or patients in employment. The patient experience and perspective in this study also did not represent a population with cultural diversity.

#### 7.0 Conclusion and Recommendations

This study has shown that patients attending the UiTM Vision Care clinic generally had a good experience and a positive perspective on optometry students' examinations and consultations at the clinic. Nevertheless, patients who are seen by fourth-year students were more satisfied with the student's overall performance. The finding seems to suggest that the duration of clinical exposure reflects student competency. However, necessary steps should be taken by clinical trainers or educators on the issue of prolonged examination and consultation that arise. Future studies may investigate patient experience and perspective on optometry student examination and consultation of the older population in a multiracial setting.

#### Acknowledgements

We want to thank all patients attending the Primary Optometry Clinic at UiTM Vision Care, who had participated in this study as respondents. The Research Entity Initiative supported this study (Project code: 600-IRMI/REI 5/3 (016/2018).

#### References

Benson, J., Quince, T., Hibble, A., Fanshawe, T., & Emery, J. (2005). Impact on patients of expanded, general practice based, student teaching: observational and qualitative study. BMJ, 331(7508), 89. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38492.599606.8F

Bentley, S. A., Trevaskis, J. E., Woods, C. A., Guest, D., & Watt, K. G. (2018). Impact of supervised student optometry consultations on the patient experience. *Clinical and Experimental Optometry*, 101(2), 288–296. https://doi.org/10.1111/cxo.12633

Brandenburg, R., & Pesudovs, K. (2014). Teaching communication skills : an Australian optometry program's new course. *Optometric Education*, 40(1), 19–27. Retrieved from http://journal.opted.org/the-journal-of-optometric-education/optometric-education-vol-40-no-1-2014/teaching-communication-skills-an-australian-optometry-programs-new-course/

Chipp, E., Stoneley, S., & Cooper, K. (2004). The Clinical TeacherClinical placements for medical students: factors affecting patients' involvement in medical education. *Medical Teacher*, 26(2), 114–119. https://doi.org/10.1080/01421590410001665636

Choudhury, T. R., Moosa, A. A., Cushing, A., & Bestwick, J. (2006). Patients' attitudes towards the presence of medical students during consultations. *Medical Teacher*, 28(7), e198–e203. https://doi.org/10.1080/01421590600834336

DiMatteo, M. R., & Hays, R. (1980). The significance of patients' perceptions of physician conduct: a study of patient satisfaction in a family practice center. *Journal of Community Health*, 6(1), 18–34. Retrieved from 10.1007/bf01324054

Haffling, A.-C., & Håkansson, A. (2008). Patients consulting with students in general practice: Survey of patients' satisfaction and their role in teaching. *Medical Teacher*, 30(6), 622–629. https://doi.org/10.1080/01421590802043827

Howe, A., & Anderson, J. (2003). Involving patients in medical education. *BMJ*, 327(7410), 326 LP – 328. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.327.7410.326 Mol, S. S. L., Peelen, J. H., & Kuyvenhoven, M. M. (2011). Patients' views on student participation in general practice consultations: A comprehensive review. *Medical Teacher*, 33(7), e397–e400. https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2011.581712 Monnickendam, S. M., Vinker, S., Zalewski, S., Cohen, O., & Kitai, E. (2001). Patients' attitudes towards the presence of medical students in family practice consultations. Israel Medical Association Journal, 3, 903–906. Retrieved from https://www.ima.org.il/MedicineIMAJ/viewarticle.aspx?year=2001&month=12&page=903

Sayed-Hassan, R. M., Bashour, H. N., & Koudsi, A. Y. (2012). Patient attitudes towards medical students at Damascus University teaching hospitals. BMC Medical Education, 12(1), 13. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6920-12-13

Vaughn, J. L., Rickborn, L. R., & Davis, J. A. (2015). Patients' Attitudes Toward Medical Student Participation Across Specialties: A Systematic Review. *Teaching and Learning in Medicine*, 27(3), 245–253. https://doi.org/10.1080/10401334.2015.1044750