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Abstract
The purpose of this study is to examine the reflective measurement model of organizational culture, organizational citizenship behaviour and organizational cynicism among academicians in a selected local university. This study conducted a pilot study to 100 academicians using a convenience sampling method from the selected local university. The data collected were further analysed on the reflective measurement model by applying the Structural Equation Modelling using Partial Least Square (PLS). The finding revealed the assessment of factor loading, composite reliability, average variance extracted and discriminant validity to confirm the reflective measurement model.
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1.0 Introduction
Organizational citizenship behaviour (OCB) has become a pivotal point in organizational behaviour as it widely was discussed in the management area and become more popular in the managerial, behavioural, and psychological literature (Eissenstat & Lee, 2017; Rose, 2016). The term OCB, first coined by Bateman and Organ (1983) and has its roots in Katz (1964), who studied innovative and spontaneous behaviour beyond role prescriptions and distinguished between high and low performers. The theoretical construct of OCB was introduced as optional activities in the workplace that were necessary for organizational functioning but they were neither strictly required by the job descriptions nor rewarded by formal incentives. OCB has a significant impact on the organization’s performance and effectiveness and organizational success to achieve its objectives. Organizations can encourage positive employees, such as helping employees, peer support and work in teams (Yunus & Kareem, 2016). OCB is very important for organizations and workers, such as improving organizational performance, building mutual relations relationships among different departments, enhancing unity and organizational unity and reducing the level of resource-intensive needs (Hemakumara, Khatibi, & Johar, 2018). Research has shown that OCB increases organizational effectiveness that has diluted for many years, based on the belief that the ways people behave affect their beliefs, emotions, attitudes, and behaviour at work (Obedgiu, Bagire, & Mafabi, 2017). Organ (1998) stressed that organizational survival relies heavily on OCB as it has the potential to contribute to optimizing various organizational outcomes involving colleagues, team management, and productivity as well as organizational performance. However, a survey shows that the concept of OCB is developing slowly. Hence, more in-depth investigations of the relationships between the antecedents, determinants, and other concepts related to OCB are required (Ocampo, 2018). Furthermore, individuals are likely to perform OCB as long as management and the...
organization perceived as trustworthy. If management fails to meet an individual expectation, the individual will refrain from exerting extra effort on behalf of the organization.

The success of universities fundamentally depends on academics who are motivated to be committed to university goals and values, as it is believed that the level of OCB in lecturers could affect the academic performance of students and the success of the organization (Chehasakul & Varma, 2016). Inadequate OCB among the lecturers in carrying out their duties caused by low satisfaction of the lecturers (Gunawan, Barsa, & Tua, 2018), less organizational commitment (Hairuddin, Gani, Sinring, & Arfin, 2017), low productivity and poor skills in pre-active and interactive lesson presentation (Adesina, Raimi, & Bolaji, 2016), lack of trust among colleagues (Balyer, 2017), and poor work environment (Husin, Ghazali, Abdullah, & Hadi, 2018). As a study on public universities in Nigeria shows that not all academics exhibit OCB in the university system (Etudor-eyo & Atakpa, 2017). Therefore, this study is to examine the reflective measurement model of the organizational cynicism and OCB among lecturers in a higher education institution.

2.0 Literature Review

2.1 Definition of Organizational Cynicism

Cynicism, which has lately been a subject of research in the field of education and supervision, can lead to organizational change and resistance to administrative control (Faruk & Sitar, 2016). Cynicism characterized by negative emotions covering personality, group, ideology, the social contract or lay trust, and frustration (Bayram, Mehmet, & Karaca, 2017). Employees with cynical ideas about the organization believe that there is a secret objective to the organization’s actions and hence, there is no likelihood for the official reasons developed by the managing body to be accepted (Akar & Çelik, 2019). Thus, cynic individuals believe that organizational actions do not include justice, honesty, and sincerity. Employees do not intentionally act cynical, but this attitude emerges as a result of experience. Therefore, none of an individual is born cynical, but instead, he becomes one in time according to his skills. Scholars accept cynicism as a learned behaviour based on injustice and frustration in the organization. The disappointment of workers leads to negative perspectives and weak expectations (Akar & Çelik, 2019).

2.2 Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) in Education

Successful organizations have a workforce who freely give off their time and energy to succeed at the assigned job (Waheed & Shah, 2017). However, university teaching is a very complex and challenging task as opposed to teaching in schools and colleges due to low formulation of teaching content and methods at universities. The success of higher education institutions not only rely entirely on formal job descriptions, but it also depends on lecturers who are willing to do great work beyond the formal working conditions, which is to engage in OCB (Somech & Drach-zahavy, 2000). Organizational citizenship behaviour plays a crucial role in improving the effectiveness, efficiency, and creativity of higher educational institutions (Claudia, 2018). OCB in research on educational organizations to examine various aspects or factors that influence OCB. Related to the teaching context, OCB is one of the most significant professionals in the world (Ariani, 2017). The emergence of OCB relies heavily on the willingness of the various parties involved to contribute positively, especially the lecturers as they are a critical part of any higher educational institution. Discussion on OCB should not be isolated from the concept of the institution or the organization itself, as one of the factors influencing the formation of such behaviour (Claudia, 2018). OCB may help lecturers include helping other practices and meeting the needs of others, including students. OCB among lecturers also include behaviour that supports students and colleagues that include changes and motivation in teaching, in-depth orientation to the organization and deep loyalty to teaching (Somech & Khotaba, 2017). As previous researchers found that high-level lecturers with OCB positively helped the academic achievement of students (Ariani, 2017).

2.3 Relationship Between Organizational Cynicism and Organizational Citizenship Behavior

Organizational cynicism is an attitude of unfriendliness with oneself for the organization because the organization will always try to fool its employees, display a lack of honesty (Nair & Kamalanabhan, 2013) and takes decisions on personal experiences and knowledge. Many studies related to organizational cynicism and OCB are significant. A recent study by Turkmen and Ayyak (2017) found that there is a significant and negative association between organizational cynicism and organizational citizenship behaviour. Thus, individuals are likely to perform OCB as long as management and the organization perceived as trustworthy. If the command fails to meet an individual expectation, the individual will refrain from exerting extra effort on behalf of the organization. While, perceived contract violation, abusive supervision and servant leadership on organizational citizenship behaviour and mediating role of employee cynicism has a significant impact on OCB (Awais, Aziz, Shahbaz, Arslan, & Rahat, 2017). The results of the study by Singh (2018) revealed that organizational cynicism has impact on job performance, organizational citizenship behaviour, organizational justice, organizational commitment, organizational trust, innovation behaviour and employee turnover intentions. Having a high level of OCB and low level of organizational cynicism makes it possible to manage conflicts between subordinates and superiors successfully. As the title goes down to lower levels from Manager, having OCB increases the chance of successfully managing conflicts between subordinates and having a high level of OCB and low level of organizational cynicism, makes it possible to manage conflicts between subordinates and superiors successfully (Yilmaz & Şencan, 2018).

2.4 Consequences of Organizational Cynicism

There is a strong consensus exists concerning the consequences of organizational cynicism. Most frequently mentioned are affective and behavioural outcomes, such as a decrease in motivation, job autonomy, self-efficacy, employee satisfaction, employee performance,
organizational commitment and organizational citizenship behaviour (Singh, 2018; Skaalvik & Skaalvik, 2016), an increase in mistrust and disrespect to the organization, and other forms of psychological disengagement (Thomas & Gupta, 2018). Studies showed that cynicism related to a decrease in self-esteem (Yıldırım & Kayapalı, 2016), and other research revealed that employer might also experience the cynicism among their new employees (Subramanian, 2016). Broken promises and the feeling of not being treated with respect and dignity arouse distrust and a loss of commitment. Such responses expected to have inhibiting and disruptive effects on interpersonal relationships (Scott & Zweig, 2016). It can also result in an increase in negative tendencies such as intentions to quit the job, and other counterproductive behaviours. Therefore, organizational cynicism can create an obstacle for employee empowerment (Yıldırım & Kayapalı, 2016). Organizational cynicism is thus manifested as a subjective experience that is negative for an organization, and is characterized by the presence of cognitions, emotions and attitudes that are negative and which are born in an individual, but spread collectively in the organizational level (Fernández, Moreno, & Lombana, 2018). The lack of employee’s cynicism to an organization leads to employees do not negative verbal propaganda against the organization and do not hurt the reputation of the organization given customers and the public.

3.0 Conceptual Framework
Based on the preceding discussion, a conceptual framework proposed as depicted in Figure1. To conceptualize the relationship between organizational culture and OCB. It is reasonable to assume that employees may tend to be more committed when they believe that their organization supports equality and justice. Furthermore, employees respond to their perceptions appropriately through positive attitudes and behaviours to the organization, thus increasing their willingness to engage in high levels of OCB.

```
Figure 1: Conceptual Framework of Organizational Cynicism and Organizational Citizenship Behaviour
```

4.0 Methodology
This study conducted a pilot study on 100 academicians using a convenience sampling method from a selected local university. The data collected were further analysed on the reflective measurement model by applying the Structural Equation Modelling using Partial Least Square (PLS). The findings revealed the assessment of factor loading, composite reliability, average variance extracted and discriminant validity to confirm the reflective measurement model. The result found 100 of the sample sizes that were appropriate to use in further analysis. The items measurement for OCB was adapted from Podsakoff, MacKenzie, Moorman and Fetter (1990). There are 22 items to measure five dimensions which are altruism, civic virtue, sportsmanship, conscientiousness, and courtesy. Then, the items measurement of organizational culture consists of 14 items. The items are adapted from the studies of Weston (2018). Furthermore, there are 13 items to measure the organizational cynicism which particularly emphasizes on the three dimensions which are affective cynicism, cognitive cynicism and behavioural cynicism adapted from Acary and Yildirim (2017). All items used the five Likert scale, 1= strongly disagree and 5= strongly agree. The study was limited to a selected local university. The convenience sample directly limits its generalizability.

5.0 Findings
Reflective measurement models identified that adding or subtracting items does not change the conceptual meaning of the construct (John, 2002). Additionally, the direction of causality in the reflective model flows from the construct to the indicator (Edwards & Bagozzi, 2000). Therefore, the convergent and discriminant validity had conducted to measure the reflective measurement consist of the reliability and validity of the items. In PLS, the values of the loading factors required to be higher than 0.5 and above 0.70 (Hair, Hult, Ringle, & Sarstedt, 2017). Then, the calculation of values composite reliability with the cut off 0.5 and average variance extracted values should be greater than 0.7 (Hair et al., 2017). As depicted in Table 1, the organizational culture consisted of 14 items and each of the items loading indicates there were greater than 0.5. The items OC2, OC5 and OC6 deleted due to the lower factor loading. Next, there were 13 items of organizational cynicism which that presented cognitive cynicism, affective cynicism and behavioural cynicism. The items C5 and C11 deleted due to the lower factor loading and the rest of the items tested indicate had high factor loading, which was greater than
0.5. On the other hand, there were 22 items of organizational citizenship behaviour tested which consist of altruism, civic virtue, sportsmanship, conscientiousness and courtesy. The items OCB2, OCB4, OCB7, OCB11, OCB12, OCB14 and OCB15 deleted due to the lower factor loading.

Table 1 Convergent validity result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Item loading</th>
<th>Factor loading</th>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Item loading</th>
<th>Factor loading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organizational culture</td>
<td>OC1: 0.847</td>
<td>OC3: 0.556</td>
<td>OC4: 0.721</td>
<td>OC7: 0.672</td>
<td>OC8: 0.682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C10: 0.892</td>
<td>C12: 0.658</td>
<td>C13: 0.762</td>
<td>OCB1: 0.905</td>
<td>OCB3: 0.742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioural cynicism</td>
<td>C10: 0.892</td>
<td>Sportsmanship</td>
<td>OCB13: 1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive cynicism</td>
<td>C1: 0.310</td>
<td>C2: 0.894</td>
<td>C3: 0.822</td>
<td>C4: 0.521</td>
<td>Conscientiousness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affective cynicism</td>
<td>C6: 0.808</td>
<td>C7: 0.755</td>
<td>C8: 0.975</td>
<td>C9: 0.944</td>
<td>Civic virtue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1 Composite Reliability and Average Variance Extracted

From the assessment of factors loadings, the composite reliability (CR) measured to access the reliability after the item deleted. According to (Hair et al., 2017), the acceptable values of composite reliability is higher than 0.70 and average variance extracted also must higher than 0.50. If the assumption met, the result indicates that the items of the model tested were high in internal consistency reliability and validate to the studied. As showed in Table 2, the reflective construct found their values of composite reliability were higher than 0.5 as organizational culture (CR=0.921), cognitive cynicism (CR=0.889), affective cynicism (CR=0.928) and behavioural cynicism (CR=0.818). For OCB dimensions, altruism (CR=0.782), civic virtue (CR=0.935), conscientiousness (CR=0.922), courtesy (CR=0.803) and sportsmanship (CR=1.000). Concluded with the composite reliability assessment, all the indicators were high internal consistency in which the values were greater than 0.7 and above. The result confirmed the 49 items tested were reliable to the model. Furthermore, the AVE values for organizational culture (AVE=0.517), cognitive cynicism (AVE=0.672), affective cynicism (AVE=0.766) and behavioural cynicism (CR=0.603). For OCB dimensions, altruism (AVE=0.555), civic virtue (AVE=0.566), conscientiousness (AVE=0.608), courtesy (AVE=0.647) and sportsmanship (AVE=1.000) indicates that the AVE values of the constructs as in the model were greater than 0.50 and this resulted confirmed the result of convergent validity of this studied.

Table 2 Composite reliability and average variance extracted analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constructs</th>
<th>Composite Reliability</th>
<th>Average Variance Extracted (AVE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organizational culture</td>
<td>0.921</td>
<td>0.517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive cynicism</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>0.672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affective cynicism</td>
<td>0.928</td>
<td>0.766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioural cynicism</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>0.603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altruism</td>
<td>0.782</td>
<td>0.555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic virtue</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>0.566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conscientiousness</td>
<td>0.822</td>
<td>0.608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courtesy</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>0.647</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2 Discriminant Validity: Fornell-Lacker Analysis

Discriminant validity evidenced by the fact that construction measures that theoretically not correspond to one another do not found to be closely related to one another. In practice, the discriminant validity coefficient should be significantly smaller in magnitude than the convergent validity coefficient (Hubley, 2014).

The differences between overlapping constructs can also be measured through discriminate validity (Hair et al., 2017). Discriminant validity evaluated through items comparison in cross-loading and Fornell and Lacker criterion. A traditional Fornell and Larcker criterion assessment used to calculate the cross-loadings between others construct (Fornell & Larcker, 1981). The assumption underlying discriminant validity, if the single loading of the indicator is more significant for their latent variable than for the other latent variable in the model, the result interpreted the model is well-differentiated concerning the other constructs. Under the cross-loading approach, the factor loading of the items of the assigned constructs must be higher than the factor loading score of the different constructs while maintaining the threshold value of 0.60 or above (Hair, Black, Babin, & Anderson, 2010). The second criterion for the measurement of discriminant validity is Fornell and Lacker criterion. Discriminant validity affirmed when the AVE square route of every single construct
is higher than the construct's highest correlation with any other latent construct (Hair et al., 2010). As a rule of thumb, the AVE score of square roots of each construct must be higher than 0.50 (Fornell & Larcker, 1981). The result of discriminant validity has shown in Table 3 indicates the cross-loadings of the construct and the other construct meet the assumption of the values is greater than another construct. All items loading in reflective model found the values were in the range of 0.70 to 0.80.

6.0 Discussion
The objective of this paper is to examine the reflective measurement model of the organizational cynicism and OCB and rigorous assessment of construct validity between formative versus reflective construct. A reflective measurement model commonly assesses the factor loading of the indicators that can be added or deleted if the loading does not meet the cut-off values. The deleted item remains to explain the fundamental concept of the construct and does not change any meaning. The reflective measurement model assessment includes composite reliability, average variance extracted, and discriminant validity which shows the causal relationship of the construct to indicators. In a different view of a formative measurement model, the construct assesses by measuring the outer weight values and significance of the outer weight. Then the study should decide to remain or delete when the outcomes do not meet the assumption. From the result of the analysis above, it is found that organizational culture and organizational cynicism predict the organizational citizenship behaviour of lecturers in a local university. The results are significant because organizations such as universities and faculty members of the future, whose primary purpose is to educate, do not appear to be very good at organization-oriented collaboration activities. The result is significant because it shows that the education profession, as it should be based on social responsibility and spiritual values, are progressing towards individual academic success. Moreover, the fact that organizational cynicism has a lower average than organizational citizenship behaviour can be described as a good outcome in terms of the academic profession. The finding in this study is consistent with previous research (Ikinci, 2018; Yılmaz & Şencan, 2018) that found a higher level of OCB compared to organizational cynicism will decrease the level of cynicism. However, it is statistically insignificant with (Awais et al., 2017; Singh, 2018) who found that organizational cynicism affects OCB and the performance of employees.

7.0 Conclusion & Recommendations
In summary, this study noted that high levels of professional tension, anger and cynicism have been reported in the teaching profession, where it has evaluated almost double the stress experienced in other businesses. The scope of this study is broad enough that it is not confined to Malaysian teaching staff, but its findings can be applied to other countries as well. It is concluded from the literature that if workers are treated fairly and their rights are respected, and the organization assesses their efforts then they will be less persuaded towards the organization’s cynicism. Given the practical and theoretical importance of OCB, future research in this area is undoubtedly warranted. Given the substantial role of lecturers today in educating students, it is hoped that the findings of this study may help the administrators to attain an understanding regarding lecturers’ cynical attitude and its impact on organizational citizenship behaviour.
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