Abstract
Designing spaces for the autistic should be considered their sensory needs. This quantitative study employed the survey method to explore the relationship between the sensory design towards the physical learning environment. The respondents (n=384) identified and Statistical Program for Social Sciences Software (SPSS) used for data entry and analysis. Pearson’s Correlation is used to highlight relationships between the variables. The result revealed sensory design have a strong significant relationship towards the physical learning environment in the context of autism. Findings hoped to benefit in creating to improve the autism learning environment in Malaysia.
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1.0 Introduction
Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is challenged by impairments in social interactions and communication, rigid behaviour and thinking, and lack of emotional understanding. Children with autism exhibit inattention and distractibility more than normal children. Every autistic child has different academic problems, such as difficulties in class participation, low attention span, and inappropriate behaviours that hinder their ability to participate in educational activities. Hence, they usually experience disappointment in academic progress by...
ignoring proper changes in the environment may have severe negative impacts on the learning processes of autistic children. The learning environment has become significant because research has proven that the quality of educational facilities affects learning outcomes and the individuals within the building (Nazri & Ismail, 2016). To enhance the development of children with autism, it is essential to ensure that the building is effective, convenient, fit and feasible for all children to use, especially for children with autism (Martin, 2014). There are only a few studies were found regarding the design of the physical classroom space. They were largely absent from the literature compared to the literature that addresses the origins, conditions, treatments, and pedagogical approaches for children with ASD (Martin, 2014). Thus, the primary goal is to explore whether there is a relationship between sensory design towards the physical learning environment in the autism classroom. Findings hoped to promote a conducive learning environment for autistic children. This would also help the technical agency and related government agencies used as a benchmark to design to improve the autism learning environment in Malaysia.

2.0 Literature Review

The built environment can be overwhelming, alienating, and challenging to negotiate with autistic children. This can act as a barrier to learning, hampering the child's development (Monally et al., 2013). The environment has a huge impact on a child with Sensory Sensitivity, also known as Sensory Processing Disorder or Sensory Integration Difficulty. Hence, creating spaces for them needs to consider precisely how to utilize the space since autistic people have different sensory needs, trouble understanding, and autistic people's brain processes stimulation (Raa, 2012). This section would elaborate on the sensory design approach, and the physical learning environment stipulates positively altering the sensory environment, which would improve and affect autistic academic outcomes.

2.1 Sensory Design

The sensory design approach is based on the sensory environment being a major role player in perception and behaviour (Gopal & Raghavan, 2018). Hosny & Anous (2015) mentioned that the Sensory Design Theory stipulates positively modifying the sensory environment; thus, the environment could be conducive to positive and constructive autistic behaviour, particularly in learning environments. The sensory design approach focuses on creating a controlled sensory environment that makes autistic people feel comfortable. Moreover, it offers a low stimulus environment, adaptable to severe autism and facilitates skill achievement (Hosny & Anous, 2015). Sensory design is designed for living how a space feels, sounds, looks, smells, and functions, furthermore, to help autistic avoid feelings of anxiety, physical pain, and stress (Ghazali, Md Sakip, et al., 2018). The sensory design is beneficial to the autism learning environment by a modification to the surrounding environment. In the specific case of autistic children, the architectural design interventions used broadly classified into the sensory design and neurotypical design approaches (Gopal & Raghavan, 2018). The sensory design elements highlighted by Ghazali, Md Sakip, et al. (2018) comprises acoustic, spatial sequencing, escape space, compartmentation, transition zone, sensory zoning, and safety. As Ghazali, Md Sakip et al. (2018) reviewed, those elements are essential for the designer to experience the environment before designing autistic children's environments. While research done by Safrilia (2018) highlighted that the sensory design is called responsive architecture. In this case, the occupants and the environment are involved in the feelings, thoughts, and behaviour. The sensory design approach focuses on building occupants and paying close attention to how the room can affect them, both in the short and long term (Safrilia, 2018).

2.3 Physical Learning Environment

The learning environment refers to the space allocated for classrooms, science labs, open areas, and offices (Amirul et al., 2013; Che Ahmad & Amirul, 2017). They defined the learning environment as the social context, psychological and pedagogical, which can affect students' learning, achievement, and attitudes. Research done by Shaari & Ahmad (2016) mentioned that the design process should consider the physical learning environment and concentrate on lesson planning and spatial arrangements, which is equally important. Shaari & Ahmad (2016) stressed that children are influenced by their physical and social settings as it also affects children's behaviour, academic performance, and development. Not only concentrating on spatial arrangements, esthetic qualities, safety features, and appropriate use of material, finishes, and furniture contribute to conducive environments for teaching and learning (Shaari & Ahmad, 2016). There exists a considerable of literature on the conduciveness of indoor environments such as thermal, visual, and acoustic comforts. Human comfort due to the physical environment affects a child's play behaviour, thus influencing learning (Shaari & Ahmad, 2016). Besides the sensory issues, internal environment, sensory spaces, and the physical learning environment, it is great if the designer would look into the most potential that easily triggers the anxiety among autistic (Ghazali et al., 2019). The aspect of physical learning environment should be highlighted and discussed, such as the building entrance, building scale, safety and security, personal space and movement, wayfinding, legibility, threshold, classroom, quiet room, toilet, visual distraction, sun and glare, lighting, acoustic, smell, calm, low stimulus space, and sensory garden would create positive learning environment (Ghazali et al., 2019). Research by Che Ahmad & Amirul (2017) highlighted that the physical aspects of the classroom environment's suitability was high for furniture and lighting facilities while the moderate level for air quality, colour, and space. Their research also addressed that the students perceived the physical learning environment impacts students' health, enjoyment, and learning. Furthermore, Che Ahmad & Amirul (2017) revealed a significant relationship between the physical classroom environment and students' enjoyment and learning. Hence, the designer should consider the physical aspects of the classroom environment and give more attention to improving learning and teaching effectiveness.

2.3 Relationship between Sensory Design and Physical Learning Environment

Concerning sensory design, designing environments that make autistic children sensorily comfortable that helps them involves exposure to as typical a real world environment as possible (Gopal & Raghavan, 2018). The sensory design approach is based on the concept
that the sensory environment plays a major role in perception and behaviour to arrive at design interventions for sensory comfort of autistic children (Hosny & Anous, 2015; Gopal & Raghavan, 2018). To give autistic children sensorily comfortable, altering the sensory environment can be conducive to positive and constructive autistic behaviour, particularly in learning environments (Mostafa, 2014). In line with previous studies, constructing sensory design focuses on creating a controlled sensory environment that makes autistic people feel comfortable, facilitating skill acquisition (Hosny & Anous, 2015). Furthermore, the typical perception as the understanding of, and relevant responding to, the sensory input from the surrounding environment (i.e. the architectural design), could better understand the role of architecture in autistic behaviour (Mostafa, 2014). The sensory design has demonstrated that autistic behaviour can be influenced favourably by altering the sensory environment and resulting from the physical architectural surroundings of colour, texture, ventilation, sense of closure, orientation, acoustics etc. (Mostafa, 2014). Hence, sensory design determined that designing for sensorily comfortable spaces could make the child more manageable, and formulated guidelines could aid the design process (Gopal & Raghavan, 2018). Therefore, the consideration of sensory design is essential to improving environmental conditions. The influence on the physical environment could impact students’ satisfaction, which then might lead to their performance (Munir, 2018). This study shows that those elements that appear consistent influence the physical learning environment by altering this sensory environment. Thus, in a manner designed to accommodate specific autistic needs by enhancing the sensory elements or creating a more conducive environment for more efficient skill development (Mostafa, 2014).

3.0 Methodology
The quantitative study employed the survey method to explore the relationship between the sensory design towards the physical learning environment for the autism classroom. The questionnaire distributed to three hundred eighty-four (384) comprised people involved in the autism learning environment; they should be able to give opinions and may or may not experience designing or creating the autism learning environment. However, 174 close-ended questionnaires were returned. Therefore, only a 45.3% response rate was registered for this survey. This research attempts to achieve the objective which to explore the relationship between the sensory design towards the physical learning environment for an autism classroom.

3.1 Data Collection
The questionnaire consists of seven (7) sections - section one (1) was general information; section two (2) is about the rating scales using the Likert scale, which includes 1 for strongly disagree, 2 for disagree, 3 for neither agree nor disagree, 4 for agree and 5 for strongly agree; section three (3) was basically to generate the respondent demographic data; section four (4) is related to sensory sensitivity dealt with sight, sound, smell, taste, touch, proprioception and vestibular; section five (5) is related to sensory stimulation dealt with acoustic, colour, smell, lighting and visual; section six (6) is related to sensory design dealt with acoustic, spatial sequencing, escape space, compartmentation, transition zone, sensory zoning and safety, and finally section seven (7) is related to the physical learning environment dealt with building scale, accessibility, wayfinding, toilet provision, window, ventilation and heating, threshold, legibility, and furniture.

3.2 Data Analysis
The raw data from the responses of each participant were coded numerically. Data were entered and analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) (SPSS, 2017). Descriptive statistic was used to examine the data collection. Several statistical tests were performed to assess the validity and reliability of the questionnaire. This was performed using Cronbach’s alpha (α). An alpha between 0.70 and 0.95 was considered acceptable, and the significance level was set at 0.05 (α <0.05) for all tests. The close-ended questionnaire had been analyzed by mean score, whereas Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient was used to present this research’s objective.

Pearson’s product-moment correlation coefficient is the most extreme and standard index of the bivariate relationship or association between two variables (Pui Teng & Hassan, 2015). Correlation is used to highlight relationships between the given variables (Owens, 2008). The researcher used correlation analysis to assess the relationship between sensory design (SD) and the physical learning environment (PLE). Before measuring the relationship, a correlation of -1 indicates a perfect linear descending relation with higher scores on one variable, implying lower scores on the other variable. A correlation of 0 means there is no linear relation between 2 variables whatsoever. However, there may be a (strong) non-linear relation, nevertheless. A correlation of 1 indicates a perfect ascending linear relation with higher scores on one variable associated with higher scores on the other variable (Au-Yong, 2013), as shown in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1. Interpretation of Correlation (Au-Yong, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>Perfect negative relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>No linear relationship exists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1.00</td>
<td>Perfect positive relationship</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Au-Yong, 2013)
4.0 Findings
This section focuses on the interpretation of the results. The first part is the respondent demographic background, and the second part is the findings of the Correlation of variables.

4.1 Demographic Background
Frequencies for the basic demographic were calculated. The demographic data showed that most respondents were female (61.5%). Of these, 38.5% were males. Most of the respondents had an 11–15-year-old service duration (33.9%). Those who had more than 15 years old service duration are 29.3%, 23% had service duration 6–10 years, and 13.8% participants had less than five years’ service duration. Of the respondents who participated in the survey, 80.5% (n=140) participants were not involved in autism, and 19.5% (n=34) reported being involved in autism. Among the respondents who participated in the survey, 85.1% (n=148) reported that they do not have a family member with autism, and the remaining 14.9% (n=26) participants have a family member with autism.

4.2 Correlation of Variables
The study aimed to test the Correlation between sensory design (SD) towards the physical learning environment (PLE) by using the Pearson correlation coefficient. There was a positive correlation between the two variables \( r=.891, n=174, p<.0005 \). These findings show that the positive correlation between Sensory Design (SD) towards the Physical Learning Environment (PLE) seems to have a strong relationship, as shown in the correlation coefficient result in Table 4.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PLE</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLE</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.891*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.891*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

This finding indicates the sensory design affect the physical learning environment. Overall, the person involved in designing the sensory design elements should be given more attention to creating a conducive and comfortable learning environment.

5.0 Discussion
The ‘alien’ environment gives effect to a child with autism, either to be over-developed (hypersensitive) or under-developed (hyposensitive), which can result in feelings of anxiety, stress and physical pain (Ghazali et al., 2018b). As mentioned Ghazali, Md Sakip et al. (2018), the sensory design is focused on design for living which how a space feels, sounds, looks, smells, and functions, and it could be incredibly affecting one or more of the seven senses which can have an overwhelming effect on their life. Those criteria have a strong significant relationship with the physical learning environment in the context of autism. This study found the elements such as acoustic, spatial sequencing, escape space, compartmentation, transition zone, sensory zoning, safety, and security contribute to creating the Physical Learning Environment (PLE). In line with previous studies, the sensory design focuses on building occupants and paying close attention to how the room can affect autistic, both in the short and long term (Safrilia, 2018). Furthermore, it stipulates positively altering the sensory environment, conducive to positive and constructive autistic behaviour, particularly in learning environments (Safrilia, 2018). Hence, it focuses on creating a controlled sensory environment that makes autistic people feel comfortable and develop skill achievement (Safrilia, 2018).

Our sensory design findings at least hint how this dimension is essential to the physical learning environment and affects user behaviour. This result highlights the elements that enhance the experience and wellbeing of autistic, through sensory design. It is hoped that it would provide pleasant spaces for all the autistic in a learning environment setting. These results indicate that sensory design attributes concerning its capacity to respond to the various autistic sensory needs (Mostafa, 2008). The applicability of this dimension explored by Ghazali et al. (2018b) on the impact of sensory design on autistic behaviour. It is shown that it is crucial for architects to design a physical learning environment to meet students’ basic needs through acoustic, colour, smell, lighting, accessibility, wayfinding, compartmentation, the scale of the building, quiet room, safety, garden, etc. alternative. Hence, the exploration by Ghazali et al. (2018b) signifies the importance of paying attention to the physical learning environment in terms of sensory design such as physical building, internal environment, sensory issues, and sensory space. Designers should pay attention to the sensory design, and it is hoped that the physical learning environment would have less impact on autistic behaviour.
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Paper Contribution to Related Field of Study

This study has incorporated a comprehensive literature review and identified the design criteria from previous scholars. There is a need for future research to investigate deep of each dimension and its effectiveness towards the modification of learning improvement. In addition, the researcher believes that there is room for further investigation by observation approach, which allows a continued enhancement of the autistic environment. It is hoped that this research paper would benefit the designer who involves in creating autism space. Perhaps, it gives a better understanding of sensory preferences that may enhance creating a conducive learning environment for autistic.
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