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Abstract
Geopark was a widely known concept for the global theme for promoting the tourism industry. It's protecting the world heritage and improving the socioeconomic development of the local community. Currently, Geopark area was not excluded from the impact of modernization and rapid development. Geopark had faced a lot of risk and challenges of losing their identity and damages on historical assets due to an increasing demand for development. Therefore, Geopark area should be well managed and protected with strategic planning and approach. By using the content analysis technique, this research will determine the suitability and current sustainable conservation approach which suits the standard criteria and guidelines that have been set by UNESCO.
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1.0 Introduction
Heritage includes both tangible and intangible elements which include nature, culture, monument, archeological sites, geological element and others. Historical elements could identify the level of identity and have a high value in becoming the proof towards human history and its surrounding environment (Fauzi & Misni, 2016). Each of the historical elements has a specific value and significant either towards the surrounding environment or the local community itself. However, according to Eder (2004) more and more countries have started to develop schemes for recognizing important geological and geomorphological sites or landscapes within their national boundaries. Nowadays, heritage and tourism have become one of a significant impact in the tourism sector. Currently, eco-tourism sector has been introduced and has received a great support from all around the world. Heritage sites were realized as one of the most attractive destinations for tourist hence resulted in the rising of rapid ecotourism growth.

In Malaysia, the tourism sector has contributed significantly to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP). For example, in 1988 this sector contributed approximately RM9.8 billion and it increased to RM31.1 billion in the year 2000 thus this also resulted in the increasing number of tourist arrival in 2010 (Samat & Harun, 2013). Ecotourism sector in Malaysia has the potential due to its natural attractions and unique geographical landscape such as according to Sapari (2013), Malaysia is one of the 12 mega-biologically diverse countries in the world and large numbers of flora and fauna. Unfortunately, the emerging of ecotourism industry has resulted towards rapid development growth thus have created pressure on land. The increasing demands towards modern development on the tourism industry, facilities and tourism activities has given a big impact towards the historical site and its elements (Fauzi & Misni, 2016). Tourism development often results in the use of natural resources that are less sustainable, this eventually gives the potential and negative impact on the environment or pose a problem to the environment (Sun, 1998). Significantly, this problem had increased the awareness towards protecting and conserving the historical sites and elements. Hence, this is one of the reasons why the Geopark Concept has been introduced.
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A geopark is defined as a territory with a particular geological heritage of international significance, rarity or aesthetic appeal, which is developed as part of an integrated concept of conservation, education and local economic development (UNESCO, 2016). According to Azman, Halim, Liu, Saidin, and Komoo (2010) a Geopark is divided into three main components which are protection and conservation; tourism-related infrastructural development; and socio-economic development and the key success factors for Geopark concept is the level of awareness and appreciation of the development and the management planning towards sustaining the heritage value. Thus, this paper will conduct a content analysis study of the Geo-heritage conservation aspect of Geopark area. The focus of the study is to analyze current management planning and identify the management and conservation aspect involve in developing a Geopark

2.0 Area of Study
Langkawi Geopark consists of three area known as Machinchang Cambrian Geoforest Park, Kilim Karst Geoforest Park and Dayang Bunting Marble Geoforest Park. Each area of Langkawi Geopark has their own significant value, characteristics and uniqueness. The Langkawi Geopark has recorded as made up of 99 tropical islands off the northwestern coast of Peninsular Malaysia covering an area of about 478 square kilometers (Leman et al., 2008) which rich in magnificent landscape beauty and various historical elements.

Langkawi Geopark has been gazetted as Geopark by United Nation of Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) on June 1, 2007 and became the 52nd member of the International Network of Geoparks (GGN) (LADA, 2014). According to LADA and Kumpulan Governans Pemuliharaan Warisan & Pendidikan Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM) (2012), Langkawi Global Geopark is the first Geopark area in Malaysia and Southeast Asia. Langkawi Geopark has accorded the Geopark status based on three main criteria which are having an extensive mangrove park, natural resources such as beaches and islands, as well as a multi-racial culture (LADA, 2016).

However, this paper will cover the area of Kilim Karst Geoforest Park (KKGp) as the area has recorded a various number of historical and natural assets in both geological and biological elements rather than the other two area of Langkawi Geopark. KKGp consist of lots of sensitive area such as mangrove area, karst, caves and others. Although the Machinchang Cambrian Geoforest Park and Dayang Bunting Marble Geoforest Park also have a lots of natural attraction, however, the number of area that exposed to the tourism activities were not as much as KKGp. Based on the tourism activities, the KKGp area has been exposed to a major disturbance and faced a lot of risks towards the surrounding environment. The major disturbance was due to the boat tour activities, most of the area of KKGp that include in the tour passway had faced a problems such as erosion, pollution, trees damages and others. Thus, this shows why KKGp need more concern and focused towards the conservation approach.
3.0 The Content Analysis Study

As a naturally rich and sensitive area, KKGP is exposed towards various risks and problems, especially regarding the rapid development growth and also ecotourism demand. Each year, there is an emerging issue that becomes a major concern such as the destruction of mangrove area (Augustin, 2016) and also the pollution problems that resulted from tourism activities (Idris, 2016). Thus, this paper will discuss and analyze the management and conservation aspect in the development planning of the KKGP. In order to analyze the aspect, the content analysis technique will be used to study the main factor towards Geopark development which is the KKGP management structure and planning. According to UGA (2012), the content analysis technique is referred and usually used to make replicable and valid inferences by interpreting the textual material. Thus, this technique will systematically evaluate the data recorded in text such as documents, oral communication, or graphics which related to Langkawi Geopark and KKGP.

3.1 Document analysis 1: UNESCO criteria and guideline for Geopark

In 2004, the Global Geoparks Network (GGN) has been formed by the group of 17 European and 8 Chinese Geoparks (UNESCO, 2016). According to the organization, the initiative and benefits of GGN was to form a membership of a global network where all the national geological heritage area could exchange and cooperate well in developing and sustaining their area. The organization also supports the establishment of another member to gain the status of UNESCO Global Geoparks from all around the world. According to UNESCO (2016), the Geopark idea was a management concept which focuses on the protection, education and sustainable development that bottom-up approach of combining conservation with sustainable development while involving local communities.

UNESCO Global Geopark Network (GGN) has prepared some basic criteria and guidelines in order to help the other country which applied for the Geopark status. The criteria and guidelines that have been set up have provided a basic standard and structure that should be taken into consideration of all sites-seeking Geopark status. Furthermore, each factor is actually contributing to a major significant in the management and conservation aspect of the area. The establishment of the GGN has developed a specific methodology and management practices that could be inspired and shared by the Geopark area in all around the world. For example, as stated in GGN (2010), Geopark member should have the same approach and objectives such as:

i. The Geopark should have to protect and preserve their historical elements for present and future generations
ii. The Geopark could educate and increase awareness of the public towards the earth science and natural environment matters.
iii. The Geopark area should maintain the sustainable development practices.
iv. The Geopark should ensure the participatory schemes and co-partnership in order to foster heritage conservation and management aspect.
v. The Geopark could improve and stimulate the research and education towards historical elements and nature.
vi. All of the Geopark could contribute actively and join the collaboration in developing initiatives and activities through communication, publication, workshop and others.
vii. Contribute towards any kind of publication that related to Geopark practices and approach

Thus, by according to the criteria, guideline and objectives by the GGN, all of the Geopark members should already have a clear vision and perspectives towards developing and protecting their own Geopark area. The standard criteria and guidelines should be the proper guide in conducting the specific management structure and conservation plan.

Fig. 2. The famous karst landscape view at Kilim Karst Geoforest Park, Langkawi
(Source: Google image, 2016)
3.2 Document analysis 2: Document prepared by the Langkawi Development Authority (LADA)

Kilim Karst Geoforest Park possesses a richness in Geo-diversity including karst landscape, rock formation, fossils, and natural beauty such as flora and fauna. Thus, this element is considered as the historical and priceless assets of KKGP. These elements are a country historical asset which sensitive and should be well – protected. Thus, as the management body, Langkawi Development Authority (LADA) has been appointed as a coordinator of Langkawi Geopark by the Government of Kedah in May 2006. Meanwhile, in 2007, Ministry of Finance has given a funding as for LADA to develop planning for the Geopark project (LADA, 2016). Thus, the role of LADA is to prepared a proper management planning and evaluation assessment for the Langkawi Geopark.

According to LADA (2016), in order to implement the policies ad guidelines towards the Langkawi Geopark area, there is four committees that have been formed by LADA. The committee includes the technical committee, development committee, marketing committee, and conservation committee. Besides, there is also the contribution from various ministry and government agencies which created a membership towards the development of Langkawi Geopark. Langkawi Development Authority (LADA) is fully responsible towards the development and management planning of the Geopark area. As the management body, LADA has adopted and analyze various preservation and conservation aspects as well as an expert and local advice in order to prepare the management structure and draft for Langkawi Geopark. Therefore, on January 1, 2011, LADA has been collaborating with Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM) to provide an action plan known as the Final Draft of Langkawi Geopark Management Plan : Action Plan. In preparing the action plan, the research team has made a discussion and a few interviews with related groups and individuals. There are also five discussion workshop sessions that have been held to complete this action plan (LADA & Kumpulan Governans Pemuliharaan Warisan & Pendidikan Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM), 2012). In addition, Initial Report, Technical Report, Interim Report and also Historical Langkawi Report have been referred by the research team from LADA and UKM.

By referring to the GGN criteria and guidelines, LADA also has prepared and identified the main component aspect of managing the Langkawi Geopark. The component aspect is the guidelines in preparing a systematic and functional framework for the Geopark. Each component will ensure the management, quality and appropriate limitation towards managing the Geopark. In addition, through the component aspect, LADA will ensure the contribution of local community involvement and also given a focused on the conservation aspect of the whole Geopark area. The component aspect will lead a clear vision towards conducting a sustainable and achieve the objective of the Geopark concept.

Geopark faced a problem and get worsened each year. The tourism demands that increased rapidly over the years had affected the area of KKGP and had given negative impacts towards the whole Geopark surrounding. For example, here is the proof of element and problem that has been mentioned by NAHRIM:

i. Erosion
   Several locations, especially at the river steep bends and along the banks of Sungai Kilim faces an erosion problem and get worsened each year.

ii. Pollution
   The construction of basic facilities around the Geopark and also all those tourism activities had resulted in a few pollution problems such as water pollution, noise pollution and others.

iii. Disruption of the mangrove reserved area and the overall landscape of Kilim Geopark
   The tourism demands such as the construction of basic facilities and rapid eco-tourism activities held around the Geopark has resulted in all the disruption towards the area.
iv. Disturbance towards the existing Geopark’s ecosystem
The uncontrolled number of visitors at KKGP has resulted in an increased in boat trips and boat number cruising around the Geopark. Thus, the negative impacts such as the propagating waves from the boat had led to the erosion of the riverbank, damaging the mangrove tree and erosion of the karst.

---

Table 1. Group of person involved in the workshop while preparing the Final Draft of Langkawi Geopark Management Plan: Action Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Group Background</th>
<th>Numbers of person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Government agency</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Private Bodies</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Non-government</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Local community</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>School teacher</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Student</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>226</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The component aspect in managing Langkawi Geopark

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Component aspect in managing Langkawi Geopark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Governance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Conservation of Geological heritage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Conservation of Biological heritage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Conservation of Cultural heritage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Resource and Environmental Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Tourism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Public education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Local community involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Land use Planning and Physical Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Global Network</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Updated from LADA and Kumpulan Governans Pemuliharaan Warisan & Pendidikan Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM) (2012))

3.3 Document analysis 3: Document prepared by National Hydraulic Research Institute of Malaysia (NAHRIM) and Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment Malaysia (NRE).
On March 2015, National Hydraulic Research Institute of Malaysia (NAHRIM) and Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment Malaysia (NRE) has prepared a final draft report; The Study on Riverbank Erosion Due to Shipwake at Kilim Geoforest Park, Langkawi. According to NAHRIM and NRE (2015), since the establishment of the Geopark, a few areas and elements around the

Since Eco-tourism industries have become a source of income for the local people around Kilim, the safety of the local and tourist communities and most importantly the natural environment around the Geopark has to be taken into a major concern. Therefore, National Hydraulic Research Institute of Malaysia (NAHRIM) has been asked by Langkawi Development Authority (LADA) to address the issues. On December 2013, the baseline study on the erosion of mangroves in Kilim Karst Geoforest Park has been completed by NAHRIM. According to the findings of the research, the erosion of the riverbank area was affected by the impacts from the operating
boats around the area. The erosion would be worsened by the existence of the combination between both natural condition and the wave impact generated by the boats.

As a tourism destination, the operation boats were the main attraction around Kilim Karst Geoforest Park. Tourist needs to rent a boat in order to enjoy the tour around the area. According to NAHRIM and NRE (2015), a total number of boat trips passing through Kilim Jetty on 2014 was recorded as 27,732. This gives an average of 2300 boat trips a month. However, every single type of ships, high-speed crafts (HSC) or boats will generate waves and contributes to the erosion problems around Kilim. In addition, here are the points observed from the further research by NAHRIM:

i. Ship Generated Waves (SGW) was the main contributor to the erosion. A boat with higher speed will usually generate waves with large wave height, which contain a large amount of destructive energy to the river bank, destruction of fragile water plants, disturbance of silt and others.

ii. Waves hitting the river banks not only caused erosion, but also affect the growth of mangrove because the facts are that mangrove grows best in a depositional environment with low wave energy.

iii. Boat size (Length), speed and loading are the three most critical contributors to SGW.

Thus, based on the literature studies, summary and research are done by NAHRIM, the result has recommended that approximately a speed of 6 knots (or less) for the similar inland waterway area was an acceptable speed for the inland waterways boat. This acceptable speed of boats will produce SGW with a small height, which significantly will reduce the erosion rate at the riverbank. Currently, the 27 feet boats were the most commonly operating boats used along the Kilim river. Nevertheless, although 27 feet boats running at 6.25 knots still produce large waves, but fortunately it still lower than the threshold criteria. Plus, according to NAHRIM's previous study, mangrove can survive well in the area that having current speed waves of less than 0.3 m/s but a few areas along the Kilim river could have the maximum current speed up to 0.4 m/s. As a result, as for the conservation aspect towards the KKGP area, the situation with the combination of natural conditions and the wave's impact generated by the operating boats should be considered wisely or else it will cause a serious erosion problem.

4.0 Result and Discussion

Based on the content analysis study towards the conservation aspect of Langkawi Geopark, various ideas and the result could be used in developing and guiding the sustainable conservation approach at KKGP. As from the global level, the GGN had formed a useful idea towards developing a worldwide membership in order to exchange ideas and information. The active membership program could inspire the Global Geopark member to continuously improve and sustaining their Geopark area. The criteria and guidelines prepared have become the basic standard towards all the Geopark around the world. By referring to the standards, Geopark member could basically develop a management planning structure by following the criteria and guidelines. Thus, it is much easier for the GGN to manage and conducting an assessment towards all of the Geopark members.

Besides, as for the country level, LADA has struggled with developing Langkawi Geopark. LADA has basically successful in managing the Langkawi Geopark by following the criteria and guidelines prepared by the UNESCO Global Geopark. By referring to the analysis, LADA has successfully attracted local community involvement in preparing the Geopark development idea. In addition, LADA also wisely referred to the basic Geopark criteria towards their management structure. As a result, the component aspect of managing the Langkawi Geopark has fulfilled each criteria set by UNESCO Global Geopark. Thus, this will lead to a clear development plan and hopefully will strive for a proper conservation aspect. Nevertheless, there are many more elements and detail
guidelines that should be focused and prepared by LADA. Currently, the action plan lacks in the specific guidelines for managing the overall area of Langkawi Geopark. The existing action plan was actually the basic idea compared to the other older Global Geoparks which has a management and conservation plan that specifically focused on each and every single element in the Geopark area. For example, Langkawi Geopark consists of three different areas which actually has different characteristics, elements and sensitivity. Therefore, different guidelines and management planning should be used. It is because, each different sensitive sites should have a different measure in conducting the area. Plus, the sensitive sites also have a different carrying capacity. Thus, types of activities, facilities and number of tourists visit should be in a properly controlled.
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**Global Level**
- UNESCO Global Geopark has provided basic guideline and criteria for all Geopark Members
- The GGN had formed a useful idea towards developing a worldwide membership in order to exchange ideas and information.

**Country / State Level**
- LADA has been appointed as an coordinator for Langkawi Geopark and has provided component aspect and action plan for the three areas of Langkawi Geopark
- LADA has basically successful in managing the Langkawi Geopark by following the criteria and guidelines prepared by the UNESCO Global Geopark.
- Nevertheless, there are many more elements and detail guidelines that should be focused and prepared by LADA. Currently, the action plan lacks in the specific guidelines for managing the overall area of Langkawi Geopark.

**Specific area level**
- Government bodies, NGO or other has conduct a research and come out with a specific result
- For example, NAHRIM has conducted a research and identify the suitable guidelines regarding the type of boat that should be used around KKGP.
- The recommended types of boats that should be used around KKGP by NAHRIM hopefully could help in reducing the erosion problems and all other issues.

Meanwhile, it was glad to have a specific research done such as NAHRIM at the KKGP area. As a naturally rich and sensitive historical sites, KKGP should have a specific measurement and guidelines regarding the management and conservation aspect. KKGP consist of various kinds of historical elements such as geological and biological elements and others. Thus, it is important to have certain guidelines for each of the elements. For example, as the result of the research done by NAHRIM, LADA should take an appropriate approach and effort towards controlling the boat activities around KKGP. Specific guideline towards the boat tour activities and a number of tourist visit per day should be provided and well-monitored. It was an urgent call for restructured the current activities and development planning before the problem at KKGP has become worse and lastly resulted in the loss of the historical and natural elements around KKGP. The last but not least, based on the content analysis study, KKGP has a proper basic structure and implementation towards conservation and management planning. The UNESCO Global Geopark has lead LADA to have a stable component of Geopark, while LADA has prepared an effort towards managing and providing a sustainable approach for all Langkawi Geopark area. Meanwhile, an appointed government body such as NAHRIM had covered the specific research towards KKGP area. Thus, supposedly KKGP could have been provided with specific guidelines and proper conservation plan in order to sustain the originality and sustainability of the historical elements and value. By using all of the sources of global, country and specific area level information, KKGP should have the suitable conservation plan in order to specifically and systematically protects the area.

**5.0 Conclusion**
In particular, LADA had taken full responsibilities towards its role in prepared a few initiatives to deal with the management and conservation of Langkawi Geopark. However, further effort needs to be directly applied to overcome the problems as it is becoming worse. Damages and loss of geological and historical assets could affect the development of Langkawi Geopark. In addition, because of the existence of various types of elements around the Geopark area, hence the conservation plan is needed and should be more specific and wisely planned as for the sake of each element. Each element of the geological heritage has a different characteristic and
different problems. Thus, it has to be conserved in a different and particular ways. Plus, as a recommendation, further studies and research on conservation, Geo-heritage elements and the sustainable development of the Langkawi Geopark especially in KKGP area should be improved and well – practiced to order to sustain our precious historical value.
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