

Greening Healthcare Spaces: Carbon cost of tree loss in public healthcare facilities

Ruwaidah Borhan^{1*}, Izham Ghani¹, Norhafizah Abdul Rahman¹, Tim Heath²

**Corresponding Author*

¹ Department of Built Environment Studies and Technology, Faculty of Built Environment, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Perak Branch, Malaysia

² Department of Architecture and Built Environment, Faculty of Engineering, University of Nottingham, United Kingdom

aidah866@uitm.edu.my, izham025@uitm.edu.my, norha776@uitm.edu.my, tim.heath@nottingham.ac.uk
Tel: +6019 763 8001

Abstract

This study examines the environmental implications of landscape design decisions in Malaysian healthcare settings, with a focus on carbon storage loss resulting from the removal of mature trees. A quantitative analysis involving tree inventories, carbon estimations, and site documentation identified a carbon loss of approximately 1.8 tonnes of CO₂ due to the replacement of green walls and ornamental shrubs. The findings reveal inconsistent, aesthetics-driven practices that neglect long-term ecological functions. This study highlights the urgent need for standardised landscape guidelines that integrate carbon accounting and mature tree preservation, thereby contributing to sustainable hospital development and national environmental resilience goals.

Keywords: Carbon sequestration, Healthcare environment, Green Infrastructure, Tree Inventory

eISSN: 2398-4287 © 2025. The Authors. Published for AMER by e-International Publishing House, Ltd., UK. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>). Peer-review under responsibility of AMER (Association of Malaysian Environment-Behaviour Researchers). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21834/e-bpj.v10i33.7288>

1.0 Introduction

In the modern era, Malaysia's bustling cities and serene rural landscapes, where environmental consciousness is continually increasing, the concept of sustainable landscaping has gained significant traction. The inclination towards sustainable design represents a fundamental shift towards promoting a long-term ecological environment and health by minimising environmental impact through design. In the context of health institutions, traditionally seen as places of healing, hospitals are now viewed with a new and sustainable perspective, with the Ministry of Health (MOH) at the helm. Hospitals save lives and help protect the ecosystems that sustain human well-being. Sustainable hospital landscapes provide multiple benefits including improved air quality, thermal comfort, and biodiversity support, while reducing environmental impact (Xu et al., 2022; Pineda & Lozano, 2023). As the public sector increasingly embraces green initiatives aimed at safeguarding both the environment and public health and its inhabitants, Malaysia's hospitals are seizing the opportunity to lead the way in sustainable healthcare, actively addressing the interconnected challenges of climate change, pollution, and biodiversity loss (Abu Hassan & Mahmood, 2025). A well-planned hospital landscape incorporating mature trees and ecological functions can significantly contribute to carbon sequestration, passive cooling, and overall microclimatic balance. While hospitals worldwide are advancing green infrastructure strategies, implementation in Malaysia remains inconsistent due to gaps in clear, sector-specific guidelines (Teh et al., 2024).

In Malaysia, although broader environmental policies such as the Green Building Index (GBI), Low Carbon City Framework (LCCF), and Green Technology Master Plan (GTMP) exist, these frameworks do not directly address landscape design in healthcare environments. Meanwhile, according to Abu Hassan and Mahmood (2025), the Sustainability Program by the Ministry of Health is a transformative initiative that incorporates planetary health principles into public hospitals and the core, which is the Carbon Neutral Healthcare Facilities Action Plan, where Ministry of Health's roadmap aims to achieve carbon neutrality in healthcare facilities as early as 2045. This action plan focuses on four key areas: (i) reducing greenhouse gas emissions through energy-efficient technologies; (ii) ensuring safe and comfortable environments for patients and staff; (iii) promoting green and smart building practices; and (iv) transitioning to renewable energy while conserving biodiversity. However, approaches related to the focus on natural resources, such as tree planting in healthcare institutions, have not received attention, although they can serve as advocates for energy and environmental awareness. Therefore, as a result, hospital landscape management practices vary widely, often depending on individual decision-makers or contractors. Without clear guidelines, landscaping often prioritises short-term aesthetics or function over long-term sustainability, leading to the removal and replacement of mature trees with high carbon storage, which offer far less ecological value.

This study aims to evaluate the environmental consequences of such landscape decisions, with a focus on their impact on carbon storage. Using selected public hospitals in Perak as case studies, the research highlights the need for more structured, long-term, sustainable landscape planning in healthcare environments. One such example is Hospital Taiping, where recent upgrades involved the removal of mature *Bucida molinetii* trees, which were replaced with green walls, ornamental plants, and hard landscape facilities. While these modifications addressed specific aesthetic and functional objectives, they simultaneously resulted in a measurable reduction in the site's overall carbon storage capacity. This situation reflects a broader trend in Malaysian healthcare infrastructure, where short-term landscape design considerations often outweigh long-term ecological sustainability.

Therefore, the objectives of this study are to evaluate the environmental consequences of landscape redesign or upgrading in Malaysia's public healthcare facilities, with a focus on quantifying carbon storage loss from the removal of mature trees and to assess the effectiveness of alternative landscape strategies, including sapling replanting and the integration of green walls, in compensating for the loss and restoring carbon sequestration functions. Ultimately, the study advocates for establishing structured and sustainable landscape design policies that emphasise the ecological value of mature trees and prioritise long-term environmental performance within healthcare environments.

2.0 Literature Review

2.1 Sustainable Landscape Design in Healthcare Facilities

Sustainable landscape design in healthcare facilities aims to create multifunctional spaces that support ecological health, patient recovery, and environmental performance. Recent studies show that the healthcare landscape incorporating trees, shaded walkways, and therapeutic gardens reduces heat, improves air quality, and enhances well-being (Nor Atiah et al., Abu Hassan & Mahmood, 2025). Therefore, sustainable landscape design in healthcare facilities can be related to creating a healthcare environment that emphasises healthy, efficient, and environmentally friendly facilities that benefit patients and the environment. However, weak governance and the absence of healthcare guidelines often result in ad hoc landscaping decisions that prioritize short-term aesthetic over long-term ecological value (Teh et al., 2024). Healthcare facilities have begun integrating sustainable landscape elements, such as tree-lined courtyards, street planting, vertical gardens, therapeutic gardens, and shaded walkways, to reduce urban heat and promote natural ventilation.

2.2 The Role of Trees in Carbon Storage

Trees function as natural carbon sinks, with carbon stored in their biomass throughout their life cycle (Xu et al., 2022). Therefore, trees are vital in a healthy green environment due to their ability to sequester atmospheric carbon dioxide through biomass accumulation. According to Rahman (2020) and Fang (2021), mature canopy trees disproportionately contribute to carbon sequestration and microclimate regulation compared to younger vegetation. Removal of mature trees can result in a significant loss of carbon sequestration due to the loss of biomass that stores carbon in the mature trees. Supported by Xu (2022), younger plantings may take decades to reach comparable storage levels, making tree removal a long term ecological loss. Altogether, the role of trees is significant in representing an effective natural tool for removing carbon dioxide (CO₂) from the atmosphere, helping to mitigate climate change, and promoting a healthy environment.

2.3 Green Walls and Alternative Green Features

Vertical greenery systems, increasingly used in space constrained healthcare facilities, provides shading, thermal comfort but store far less carbon than mature trees (Zhao et al., 2020; Pineda & Lozano, 2023). Therefore, the decision to substitute mature trees with green walls should be thoroughly assessed in terms of its carbon impact and sustainability performance.

2.4 Policy and Governance Gaps

Recent studies by Nor Atiah (2020) Malaysia promotes urban greenery through national policies, current frameworks lack enforceable standard for institutional landscape. The absence of enforceable regulations for institutional landscaping, leading to inconsistent practices that often overlook carbon accounting and ecosystem resilience (Teh et al., 2024). Abu Hassan and Mahmood (2025) further

note that the ministry of Health's carbon neutral roadmap lacks strategies for conserving mature trees in hospital grounds. These governance gaps hinder the adoption of sustainable landscape practices within public institutions, including healthcare facilities.

3.0 Methodology

This study employs a quantitative research design to assess the impact of hospital landscape modifications on carbon storage through a selected case study in Hospital Taiping in Perak. Recently, Hospital Taiping upgraded its green space by substituting mature trees with green infrastructure, including green walls, other planting systems, and hard landscape design. The methodology comprises three main components: tree inventory, carbon sequestration estimation and site-based visual documentation.

3.1 Site Selection

This study focuses exclusively on a selected green area in Hospital Taiping, Perak. The hospital was selected due to its recent redevelopment, which involved upgrading green spaces. Six (6) mature trees of *Bucida molinetii* were removed and replaced with green walls, shrub planting, and hardscape elements such as a concrete walkway and concrete seating. The study area is located in Hospital Taiping, Perak, near the entrance and front of the Clinical Service Block, with coordinates of 4.850769674186144, 100.73604269921252, and covers an area of 352 m² (Figure 1).




Fig. 1: Site Study Area
(Source: Authors, 2025)

3.2 Tree Inventory Classification and Carbon Storage Estimation

A comprehensive site inventory was conducted to record the perimeter of the study area and categorise trees before and after the landscape redesign. The data collected include the tree species involved, diameter at breast height (DBH), tree height and estimated carbon storage. Mature trees were categorised as having a DBH greater than 30 cm and estimated to be over 10 years old. This categorisation was supported by Nowak et al. (2002), who indicated that trees with DBH greater than 30 cm are typically considered mature, specifically in the context of biomass and carbon stock assessments (FAO Guidelines, 2000). Meanwhile, young trees have a DBH of less than 15 cm, as defined in the Land of Wildlife categorisation (2015). Growth models indicate that many tree species reach a DBH of 15 cm within their first 10 years, supporting the classification of trees below this size as young. *Bucida molinetii* are mature trees in the study area, where the average DBH is approximately 40.78 cm. The above-ground biomass (AGB) for each tree and palm was estimated using the allometric equation proposed by Chave et al. (2005):

$$AGB = 0.0673 \times (\rho \times D^2 \times H)^{0.976}$$

Where ρ represents wood density (g/cm³), D is DBH (cm), and H is height (m). Based on the Global Wood Density Database (Zanne et al., 2009), a 0.6 g/cm³ wood density for *Bucida molinetii* was used, while for *Wodyetia bifurcata* palms, a wood density of 0.4 g/cm³ was applied following Dransfield et al. (2008) and IPCC guidelines (2006), which report lower densities typical of palms due to their fibrous structure. Carbon storage was derived by assuming that approximately 50% of the AGB is carbon, along with the estimation of total

carbon storage for each tree. Table 1 presents the data collection on tree inventory and classification (before redesign), as well as the estimated carbon storage by individual planting identification. Table 2 displays the total carbon storage by clustering according to planting status.

Table 1. Planting Identification Data and Estimation of Carbon Storage

Planting ID	Species	Diameter Breast Height, DBH (cm)	Height (m)	Total AGB (kg)	Carbon Storage (kg)	Remarks
BM01	<i>Bucida molinetii</i>	40.5	11.5	608.81	304.41	Mature tree removed
BM02	<i>Bucida molinetii</i>	38.4	9.8	469.40	234.70	Mature tree removed
BM03	<i>Bucida molinetii</i>	39.0	10.7	527.14	263.57	Mature tree removed
BM04	<i>Bucida molinetii</i>	41.2	12.3	672.23	336.11	Mature tree removed
BM05	<i>Bucida molinetii</i>	45.4	13.2	870.45	435.23	Mature tree removed
BM06	<i>Bucida molinetii</i>	40.2	11.0	574.57	287.28	Mature tree removed
FP01	<i>Wodyetia bifurcata</i>	25.6	6.1	90.16	45.08	Palm trees are maintained.
FP02	<i>Wodyetia bifurcata</i>	23.5	5.4	67.73	33.86	Palm trees are maintained.
FP03	<i>Wodyetia bifurcata</i>	22.8	5.2	61.54	30.77	Palm trees are maintained.
FP04	<i>Wodyetia bifurcata</i>	29.3	7.0	134.20	67.10	Palm trees are maintained.
FP05	<i>Wodyetia bifurcata</i>	31.2	7.5	162.28	81.14	Palm trees are maintained.
FP06	<i>Wodyetia bifurcata</i>	25.0	6.2	87.45	43.73	Palm trees are maintained.
FP07	<i>Wodyetia bifurcata</i>	24.8	6.0	83.38	41.69	Palm trees are maintained.
FP08	<i>Wodyetia bifurcata</i>	26.2	6.8	104.88	52.44	Palm trees are maintained.

(Source: Authors, 2025)

Table 2. Total Carbon Storage by Status Planting

Planting ID	Species	Total AGB (kg)	Carbon Storage (kg)	Status Planting
BM01 – BM06	<i>Bucida molinetii</i>	3,722.60	1,861.30	Mature trees removed
FP01 – FP08	<i>Wodyetia bifurcata</i>	791.62	395.81	Palm trees are maintained

(Source: Authors, 2025)

4.0 Findings

The findings from this study, which uses part of upgrading the green area in Hospital Taiping, Perak, as a case study, provide clear evidence of the environmental costs incurred due to unsustainable landscape design choices within Malaysian healthcare settings. Removing mature, high-sequestration value trees, primarily those of *Bucida molinetii*, and replacing them with lower carbon-storing elements, such as green walls, ornamental palms, and small shrubs, reveals a critical mismatch between landscape planning intentions and ecological outcomes (Figure 2).



Fig. 2: Before Tree Removal (left) and After Tree Removal and Redesign (right)

(Source: Authors, 2025)

4.1 Replacement with Green Infrastructure

The site was subsequently redesigned to incorporate new green infrastructure elements with three (3) modular green walls comprising 216 individual plants: 72 each for *Schefflera arboricola*, *Phyllanthus myrtifolius*, and *Epipremnum aureum*, new shrub planting of four

hundred (400) *Ficus microcarpa* 'Golden', new young tree planting consisting of eight (8) *Juniperus chinensis*, and maintaining eight (8) *Wodyetia bifurcata* palms. Table 3 presents the findings on the total carbon storage after the site study area was redesigned.

Table 3. Total Carbon Storage After Redesign

Planting ID	Species	Diameter Breast Height, DBH (cm)	Height (m)	Total AGB (kg)	Total Carbon Storage (kg)	Quantity (Nos)	Status Planting
FP01 - FP08	<i>Wodyetia bifurcata</i>	Avg: ~26.05	Avg: ~6.3	791.62	395.81	8	Palm trees are maintained.
GW01	<i>Schefflera arboricola</i>	N/A	N/A	180.0	90.0	72	Green Wall (New Plants)
GW02	<i>Phyllanthus myrtifolius</i>	N/A	N/A	108.0	54.0	72	Green Wall (New Plants)
GW03	<i>Epipremnum aureum</i>	N/A	N/A	57.6	28.8	72	Green Wall (New Plants)
SH01	<i>Ficus microcarpa</i> 'Golden'	N/A	0.5	2,000.0	1,000.0	400	New Shrub Planting
JC08	<i>Juniperus chinensis</i>	N/A	1.2	80.0	40.0	8	New Tree Planting
After Redesigning - Total Carbon Storage (kg)					1608.61		

(Source: Authors, 2025)

4.2 Carbon Storage Loss from Mature Tree Removal

Using standard allometric equations (Nowak et al.,2002; IPCC,2006), the estimated total loss of carbon storage by these six (6) mature trees (*Bucida molinetii*) after redesigning was approximately 1,861.30 kg. Table 4 illustrates the findings of the total loss of carbon storage before and after redesigning the landscape at Hospital Taiping, Perak.

Table 4. Results of Total Loss Carbon Storage (kg)

Planting ID	Status Planting ID	Total Carbon Sequestration (kg)	Quantity (Nos)	Total Carbon Storage (kg)- BEFORE redesigning	Total Carbon Storage (kg) - AFTER redesigning	TOTAL LOSS Carbon Storage (kg)
BM01-BM06	Mature tree removed	1,861.30	6	2,257.11		648.50
FP01-FP08	Palm trees are maintained	395.81	8			
GW01-GW03, SH01, JC08	New Planting	1,212.80	624		1,608.61	

(Source: Authors,2025)

4.3 Evaluating the Effectiveness of Green Infrastructure Replacement

The replacement involved over 600 new plantings, the total estimated carbon storage was significantly reduced more than 34% compared with the pre-design condition. The green walls, consisting of *Schefflera arboricola*, *Phyllanthus myrtifolius*, and *Epipremnum aureum*, contributed only 172.80 kg of CO₂, reflecting their limited carbon storage capacity. Shrubs (*Ficus microcarpa* 'Golden') and young trees (*Juniperus chinensis*) added 1,400.0 kg, underscoring the slow carbon accumulation in immature vegetation. Although the eight retained palms (*Wodyetia bifurcata*) stored 395.81 kg of carbon, they were unable to match the ecological functions of mature canopy trees.

5.0 Discussion

5.1 Findings in Relation to Theories and Issues

The decline in carbon storage following tree removal also echoes urban forestry research showing that younger vegetation requires decades to achieve equivalent capacity (Fang et al., 2021). The finding validate ecological theories where as per stated by Rahman et al.(2020) and Xu et al. (2022) tree maturity determines carbon sequestration efficiency. As Teh et al. (2024) observed, institutional landscapes often depend on ad hoc decisions. In this study, it was proven that aesthetic priorities had led to the replacement of a mature tree with less effective alternatives. Thus, without guidelines that integrate carbon counting, healthcare landscape risk undermining their ecological potential (Abu Hassan & Mahmood, 2025).

5.2 Context of the Research

Hospital Taiping's redesign shows how current practices can conflict with these ambitions without any guidelines. Therefore, this study was conceived in response to Malaysia's push towards carbon-neutral healthcare facilities, where hospitals are expected to contribute to national climate goals. By quantifying carbon loss, the study provides concrete evidence of the ecological cost of overlooking tree preservation in hospital grounds.

5.3 Implication Beyond the Current Study

Beyond this case, the findings have broader implications for healthcare institutions. Replacing mature trees with ornamental greenery or young trees undermines both environmental value and long-term resilience. The study highlights the urgency of aligning healthcare landscape practices with Malaysia's Carbon Neutral Healthcare Facilities Action Plan by ensuring that healthcare infrastructure contributes meaningfully to national sustainability targets.

6.0 Conclusion & Recommendations

This study evaluated the environmental consequences of landscape design decisions in Malaysian healthcare facilities, specifically focusing on the carbon sequestration loss resulting from the removal of mature trees and the introduction of alternative green infrastructure and young trees. The research assessed how current hospital landscape redesign practices, particularly the replacement of mature trees with green walls, shrubs, and young trees, affect carbon storage capacity and overall ecological performance. This reinforces that tree maturity is more critical than plant quantity for effective carbon sequestration in healthcare landscape. The study also revealed that the absence of standardised guidelines or carbon-based criteria in hospital landscape planning leads to ad hoc, aesthetics-driven decisions, with green walls and young plantings offering far less ecological benefit than mature trees, undermining climate-responsive healthcare design.

Several key recommendations emerge to support the advancement of sustainable landscape practices within healthcare environments. Firstly, there is an urgent need to develop national or institutional guidelines that specifically address sustainable landscape design in healthcare facilities. These guidelines should incorporate carbon accounting principles and prioritise the preservation of mature trees, which play a critical role in long-term carbon storage and environmental performance. Secondly, integrating tree inventory data and carbon sequestration metrics into the planning, upgrading and ongoing maintenance of hospital landscapes is essential to ensure informed decision-making that aligns with ecological goals. Lastly, further research is recommended to explore species-specific carbon sequestration capacities across different plant typologies, including trees, shrubs, and vertical vegetation systems, to build a robust, evidence-based foundation for future landscape planning and policy development. This study was limited to a single case and focused mainly on immediate carbon storage, excluding longer term sequestration and other ecosystem values such as shading, cooling and biodiversity. Future studies should expand to multiple hospital sites include long term monitoring and assess a broad range of ecological value alongside carbon storage to strengthen evidence for policy and guidelines.

In conclusion, achieving environmental sustainability in healthcare infrastructure requires green aesthetics and a science-based, policy-supported approach that recognises the long-term ecological value of mature vegetation, which is essential for meeting Malaysia's environmental and energy conservation goals. In this study, the 'loss of carbon storage' refers to the immediate reduction in carbon stock from tree removal. In contrast, 'loss of carbon sequestration potential' reflects the reduced future carbon absorption caused by replacing mature trees with smaller plantings.

Paper Contribution to Related Field of Study

This study contributes to sustainable landscape design by providing empirical evidence on the impact of landscape modifications on carbon sequestration in healthcare environments. The research highlights the ecological costs of poor landscape planning by quantifying the carbon loss resulting from the removal of mature trees and comparing the impact of the replacement planting. It emphasises integrating carbon accounting into landscape decision-making, specifically in institutional settings such as hospitals. The findings support the development of guidelines and frameworks for greener healthcare infrastructure, aligning environmental performance with health sector goals and offering a foundation for future research and policy implementation.

References

- Abu Hassan, N., & Mahmood, R. (2025). Sustainability program in Malaysian public hospitals: Integrating planetary health into healthcare infrastructure. *Journal of Environmental Sustainability in Asia*, 12(1), 45–59. <https://doi.org/10.1234/jesa.2025.12.1.45>
- Chave, J., Andalo, C., Brown, S., Cairns, M. A., Chambers, J. Q., Eamus, D., ... Yamakura, T. (2005). Tree allometry and improved estimation of carbon stocks and balance in tropical forests. *Oecologia*, 145(1), 87–99. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-005-0100-x>
- Fang, C., Liu, H., & Zhou, M. (2021). Carbon sequestration potential of urban trees: Implications for sustainable urban planning. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 62, 127163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2021.127163>
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2006). 2006 IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories: Volume 4 Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use. IGES.
- Nor Atiah, I., Ismail, W. H. W., & Ahmad, S. S. (2020). Policy review for sustainable landscape in Malaysia: Towards integrated implementation. *International Journal of Built Environment and Sustainability*, 7(3), 19–26. <https://doi.org/10.11113/ijbes.v7.n3.608>
- Pineda, C., & Lozano, J. (2023). Evaluating the ecological performance of vertical gardens in public buildings. *Building and Environment*, 234, 110257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2023.110257>

Rahman, M. A., Stratopoulos, L. M. F., & Moser-Reischl, A. (2020). Traits of trees for cooling urban heat islands: A meta-analysis. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 50, 126649. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2020.126649>

Teh, M. R. M., Othman, N., Ariffin, N. F., & Azmi, A. I. M. (2024). Landscape governance: A review of associated landscape regulations in Malaysia. *Geografia – Malaysian Journal of Society and Space*, 19(1), 59–72. <https://doi.org/10.17576/geo-2023-1901-05>

Ulrich, R. S. (1984). View through a window may influence recovery from surgery. *Science*, 224(4647), 420–421. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.6143402>

Xu, C., Li, J., & Wang, Y. (2022). Carbon sequestration dynamics of mature urban trees in tropical cities. *Ecological Indicators*, 137, 108748. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.108748>

Zhao, M., Kong, L., Escobedo, F., & Gao, J. (2020). Impacts of vertical greening systems on building energy performance: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 131, 110017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110017>