

Spatial–Temporal Assessment of Urban Flood Vulnerability using GIS and AHP in Klang River Basin

Nuralya Najiha Rushdi¹, Roslina Idris^{2*}, Muhammad Taufiq Muhammad Salleh³, Siti Hafsa Zulkarnain Saleh⁴, Janthy Trilusianthy Hidayat⁵

**Corresponding Author*

¹ Centre for Postgraduate Studies, Faculty of Built Environment, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Shah Alam, Malaysia

² Studies of Surveying Science & Geomatics, Faculty of Built Environment, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Shah Alam, Malaysia

³ Malaysian Space Agency (MYSA), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

⁴ Studies of Real Estate, Faculty of Built Environment, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Shah Alam, Malaysia

⁵ Department of Urban and Regional Planning Postgraduate School Pakuan University Bogor, Indonesia

roslinaidris@uitm.edu.my, 2024660154@student.uitm.edu.my, taufiq@mysa.gov.my, siti_hafsah@uitm.edu.my, janthyhidayat@unpak.ac.id
Tel: 0173773696

Abstract

Urban flooding poses a critical challenge in rapidly urbanising river basin areas. Taman Sri Muda, located in the Klang River Basin, Malaysia, has experienced recurrent flooding driven by intense rainfall, rising water levels, and urban development. This study assesses the spatial–temporal evolution of flood vulnerability in 2016, 2020, and 2024 using a GIS-based multi-criteria decision analysis approach. Rainfall, water level, elevation, slope, and land use were integrated using the Analytic Hierarchy Process and weighted overlay analysis. Results show increased flood vulnerability between 2016 and 2020, with modest improvement by 2024, providing insights for evidence-based urban planning and flood management.

Keywords: Urban Flood Vulnerability, Environment–Behaviour Interaction, Spatial–Temporal GIS, Urbanisation, Flood Exposure

eISSN: 2398-4287 © 2026. The Authors. Published for AMER by e-International Publishing House, Ltd., UK. This is an open-access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>). Peer-review under responsibility of AMER (Association of Malaysian Environment-Behaviour Researchers). DOI:

1.0 Introduction

Urban flooding in rapidly urbanising river basins has evolved from a recurrent hazard into a structurally embedded urban condition shaped by the interaction between climatic intensity and land-use transformation. In monsoon-dominated Southeast Asia, intensified rainfall intersects with expanding impervious surfaces, dense settlements, and constrained drainage systems, producing spatially concentrated and persistent flood exposure. Beyond physical inundation, such events disrupt mobility, residential stability, and risk perception, reinforcing the relevance of an environment–behaviour perspective in understanding vulnerability as both spatial and behavioural.

Within the Klang River Basin, Taman Sri Muda exemplifies this dynamic due to its low elevation, minimal slope gradients, and proximity to major river channels. Although numerous GIS-based flood assessments exist, many remain temporally static, emphasising single-period susceptibility mapping while overlooking longitudinal transitions. A spatial–temporal framework is therefore required to capture how hydrological drivers and urban morphology cumulatively shape evolving flood vulnerability patterns over time.

eISSN: 2398-4287 © 2026. The Authors. Published for AMER by e-International Publishing House, Ltd., UK. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>). Peer-review under the responsibility of AMER (Association of Malaysian Environment-Behaviour Researchers). DOI:

This study investigates the spatial–temporal evolution of flood vulnerability in Taman Sri Muda for 2016, 2020, and 2024 using an integrated GIS–AHP approach (da Silva et al., 2020). It identifies key parameters, determines their relative weights, evaluates spatial vulnerability distributions, and establishes temporal trajectories to support adaptive, evidence-based urban flood governance.

2.0 Literature Review

Urban flooding is widely recognised as a multidimensional process shaped by climatic variability, terrain constraints, and rapid urbanisation. Recent studies consistently identify rainfall intensity and river water levels as dominant hydrological triggers in monsoon-influenced regions (Guo et al., 2025). However, these drivers operate within topographical conditions such as elevation and slope, which regulate runoff concentration and water accumulation (Bhuiyan et al., 2022). Simultaneously, land use and land cover (LULC) transformation, particularly the expansion of impervious surfaces, has intensified peak discharge and reduced infiltration capacity, reinforcing the urbanisation flood nexus (Afreen et al., 2022).

Methodologically, GIS integrated with Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA), especially the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), has become a standard approach for spatial flood assessment due to its transparency and structured weighting. Nevertheless, much of the literature remains temporally static, focusing on single-period susceptibility mapping rather than examining vulnerability trajectories. From an environment–behaviour perspective, this limit understanding of how repeated flood exposure progressively reshapes spatial practices and risk perception. A longitudinal spatial–temporal framework is therefore necessary to capture cumulative interactions between hydrological intensity and urban morphology over time.

3.0 Methodology

This study adopts a longitudinal spatial–temporal design integrating Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) within a Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA) framework (Fig.1). The methodological configuration is structured to ensure analytical transparency, reproducibility, and theoretical alignment with the environment–behaviour perspective, which conceptualises vulnerability as a dynamic condition shaped by cumulative environmental and urban processes (Akhter et al., 2025; Sukri et al., 2025).

3.1 Research Design and Temporal Framework

A comparative multi-year design was employed using three benchmark years, 2016, 2020, and 2024, to capture pre-peak, peak, and post-peak flood conditions associated with rainfall variability and urban expansion. Rather than relying on single-year susceptibility mapping, the longitudinal configuration enables identification of structural shifts in vulnerability trajectories. This approach addresses limitations of temporally static modelling and supports the interpretation of progressive spatial transformation.




Fig.1: Workflow for Flood Risk Assessment

3.2 Study Area

Taman Sri Muda, located within the low-lying floodplain of the Klang River Basin (Fig.2), was selected due to its documented history of recurrent flooding and geomorphological susceptibility (Amir Abdullah et al., 2023; Tufail et al., 2025). Elevation values predominantly below 5 m, minimal slope gradients, and proximity to the Klang River create hydrological conditions conducive to water accumulation during extreme rainfall events. The area provides a suitable empirical setting for examining interactions effects between climatic intensity and urban morphology.




Fig. 2: The location of Taman Sri Muda, Seksyen 25, Shah Alam

3.3 Data Collection and Preparation

Five parameters such as rainfall, water level, elevation, slope, and land use/land cover (LULC) were selected based on empirical evidence of their relevance in contemporary flood vulnerability modelling (Kaya et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2020). Datasets were harmonised through projection standardisation, spatial resolution matching, and raster resampling to ensure cross-year comparability. All layers were reclassified into five standardised vulnerability classes (very low to very high) using consistent threshold criteria to minimise classification bias (Abdo et al., 2024) (Table 1).

Table 1. Data types, descriptions, and sources used

Data Type	Description	Source
Satellite Imagery	High-resolution images to capture land use, vegetation, and urban development in the study area (Jung et al., 2025).	Commercial satellite providers, Google Earth Engine
Digital Elevation Model (DEM)	Elevation data to assess topography, slope, and potential water flow dynamics	USGS, local mapping agencies
Hydrological Data	Rainfall and water level data to evaluate flood-prone areas based on precipitation patterns.	Meteorological and hydrological stations, local weather data providers
Land Use/Land Cover (LULC)	Classification of the land surface, highlighting urbanisation, vegetation, and water bodies.	Remote sensing data, local urban planning datasets

3.3 Parameter Selection

The Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) was applied to derive parameter weights through structured pairwise comparison using the Saaty scale (Idris et al., 2025). Each parameter was compared with the others using a Saaty scale to assess its relative influence on flood occurrence. These parameters represent both environmental and anthropogenic drivers of urban flooding and are summarised in Table 2.

Table 2. Flood Risk Factors

Flood Risk Factor	Description	Significance of Flood Risk
Rainfall	Precipitation that contributes to water accumulation in urban areas	High: Excessive rainfall leads to surface runoff and flooding
Water Level	The height of water in rivers or drains	High: Elevated water levels during rainstorms increase flooding risk
Slope	The steepness of the land surface	Moderate: Low slopes result in water pooling, while steep slopes lead to rapid runoff.
Elevation	The height above sea level	Moderate: Low-lying areas are more prone to flooding
Land Use and Land Cover (LULC)	The type of land use (urban, agricultural, forest) and its coverage	High: Urbanisation increases impermeable surfaces, exacerbating flooding

The eigenvector method was used to compute relative weights, and the Consistency Ratio (CR) (Equation 1) was calculated to assess the logical coherence of the expert judgments. A CR value below 0.10 confirmed acceptable internal consistency. This procedure enhances methodological rigour by reducing subjective bias while preserving expert-informed prioritisation. Sensitivity testing was conducted to confirm that minor weight variations did not significantly alter spatial vulnerability patterns.

$$CR = \frac{CI}{RI}$$

$$CI = \frac{\lambda_{max} - n}{n - 1}$$

Equation 1

3.4 GIS-Based Analysis and Weighted Overlay

Reclassified raster layers were integrated using weighted overlay analysis, whereby each layer was multiplied by its corresponding AHP-derived weight and aggregated to produce a composite Flood Vulnerability Index (FVI) (Akindele et al., 2023). The index was subsequently categorised into five vulnerability levels to facilitate spatial interpretation and cross-temporal comparison. This integrative modelling approach enables systematic representation of spatial heterogeneity while supporting evidence-based urban flood governance.

By linking hydrological, topographical, and land-use parameters within a temporal framework, the methodology aligns with an environment-behaviour perspective that recognises how environmental processes and urban development trajectories shape exposure patterns over time. The combined approach supports spatially informed decision-making and provides an evidence-based foundation for adaptive urban flood management strategies in rapidly urbanising river basin environments (Aslan et al., 2023).

3.5 Importance of GIS and Weighted Overlay in Flood Risk Assessment

The integration of GIS and weighted overlay analysis provides a robust framework for urban flood risk assessment, particularly in rapidly urbanising environments such as Taman Sri Muda. GIS facilitates the integration of hydrological, topographical, and land-use datasets, enabling a spatially explicit evaluation of flood vulnerability across multiple scales (Aslan, 2023).

The weighted overlay method enhances analytical transparency by explicitly incorporating the relative importance of each parameter into the flood risk assessment. When combined with AHP, this approach ensures that expert judgment and local contextual knowledge are systematically embedded within the analysis. Importantly, the GIS-based weighted overlay approach enables the identification of high-risk zones and supports spatially informed decision-making for urban planning and flood mitigation (Idris, R., 2025). By visualising how flood risk varies spatially and temporally, this methodology provides policymakers and planners with valuable insights for designing effective and sustainable flood management strategies.

3.7 Robustness and Sensitivity Analysis

To enhance methodological robustness, a sensitivity analysis was conducted to evaluate the stability of the Flood Vulnerability Index (FVI) under variations in parameter weights. Incremental adjustments were applied to the highest-weighted parameter (rainfall) and redistributed proportionally among remaining variables to examine shifts in spatial vulnerability classification.

The results demonstrated minimal variation in the overall spatial pattern of high - very high - vulnerability zones, indicating model stability and limited sensitivity to moderate weighting fluctuations. This procedure strengthens confidence in the reliability of the AHP-derived prioritisation and mitigates concerns regarding subjectivity inherent in expert-based weighting. By incorporating sensitivity testing, the modelling framework moves beyond deterministic overlay integration toward a more analytically resilient spatial assessment (Tierolf et al., 2021).

4.0 Findings

This section presents the empirical results of the spatial-temporal flood vulnerability assessment in direct alignment with the four research objectives. The findings are derived from GIS-based weighted overlay modelling and AHP parameter weighting for the benchmark years 2016, 2020, and 2024. The analysis highlights parameter influence, quantified weighting outcomes, spatial distribution patterns, and temporal evolution trends.

4.1 Identification of Key Flood Vulnerability Parameters

Environmental and anthropogenic drivers were identified as primary determinants influencing flood vulnerability in Taman Sri Muda. Five parameters were examined: rainfall, water level, elevation, slope, and land use/land cover (LULC) (Rashidiyan et al., 2024). Rainfall data show pronounced interannual variability (Fig. 3). The most pronounced increase occurred in 2020, when average annual precipitation reached 5,418 mm. This peak coincided with the most extensive expansion of high- and very high-vulnerability zones (Aidinidou et al., 2023; Burayu et al., 2023). In comparison, 2016 recorded lower rainfall levels, associated with more spatially confined high-risk areas. Although rainfall in 2024 declined marginally from the 2020 peak, vulnerability levels remained elevated relative to 2016 (Zhou et al., 2021).




Fig. 3: (a) Rainfall distribution across Selangor in 2016, (b) 2020, (c) 2024

Water-level analysis further reinforces the hydrological influence (Fig. 4). During extreme rainfall events in 2020, river water levels reached approximately 8 m, intensifying overflow risk in northern river-adjacent sectors. In 2016, elevated water levels were largely confined to the immediate riverbanks, whereas in 2020, it extended further inland. In 2024, very high water-level zones showed partial reduction but remained concentrated in low-lying northern areas (Ashfaq et al., 2025; Tufail et al., 2025).




Fig. 4: (a) Water level distribution of Taman Sri Muda in 2016, (b) 2020, (c) 2024

Topographical assessment indicates that substantial portions of the study area lie below 5 m above sea level. Elevation values range from approximately 0.001 m to 12.921 m, with extensive low-elevation zones clustered in northern and central sectors. Slope gradients range between 0.001° and 0.088°, reflecting predominantly flat terrain. These minimal gradients constrain natural runoff and promote water stagnation during heavy rainfall (Fig. 5).




Fig. 5: (a) Elevation and (b) Slope of Taman Sri Muda

LULC analysis demonstrates the continuous expansion of built-up areas between 2016 and 2024. Vegetated and permeable surfaces declined progressively, particularly in central and northern sectors. The spatial overlap between dense built-up zones and high-flood-vulnerability areas is consistent across all three years. As shown in Fig. 6, built-up areas increased substantially between 2016 and 2024, accompanied by a marked reduction in vegetation and open land that previously facilitated infiltration (Ashfaq et al., 2025).




Fig.6: (a) LULC Taman Sri Muda in 2016, (b) 2020, (c) 2024

Collectively, the analyses of rainfall, water level, elevation, slope, and LULC confirm their combined influence as primary determinants of flood vulnerability in Taman Sri Muda. These findings directly address the first objective by empirically validating the selection of key environmental and anthropogenic parameters that shape the spatial flood risk.

4.2 Determining the Weight

The relative importance of rainfall, water level, slope, elevation, and land use/land cover (LULC) was determined using the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), which enables systematic pairwise comparison of parameters based on expert judgment (Pakati et al., 2025). The resulting weights, summarised in Table 4, reflect the perceived significance of each parameter in shaping flood conditions in Taman Sri Muda.

Table 4. AHP weighting table

Parameter	Weight (%)
Rainfall	43.28
Water Level	19.47
Elevation	16.57
LULC	14.59
Slope	11.60

The predominance of rainfall confirms its primary triggering role in annual flood severity. However, the combined contribution of elevation, slope, and LULC (42.76%) demonstrates that the structural characteristics of terrain and urban development collectively exert an influence comparable to that of hydrological drivers. This finding underscores the multidimensional nature of vulnerability.

4.3 Establishment of Spatial–Temporal Trends (2016–2024)

The temporal trends focused on establishing evolving flood vulnerability trends across the three benchmark years. Comparative analysis of vulnerability categories (Fig. 7) reveals a distinct escalation–stabilisation trajectory.

Stacked Percentage Distribution of Flood Vulnerability Categories (2016–2024)




Fig. 7: Percentage of flood risk zones over time (2016,2020,2024)

Between 2016 and 2020, the proportion of very high-risk zones increased significantly, reaching 11.08% of the total study area. This escalation coincides with peak rainfall intensity, elevated water levels, and expanding impervious urban surfaces. The simultaneous amplification of hydrological and anthropogenic drivers contributed to intensified exposure. From 2020 to 2024, a modest decline to 9.76% in very high-risk areas was recorded. Despite this reduction, vulnerability levels in 2024 remained higher than in 2016. High-risk zones continued to cluster in northern and low-elevation sectors, indicating persistent structural susceptibility.

The temporal pattern, therefore, demonstrates:

- i. A moderate baseline condition (2016)
- ii. Sharp intensification under combined climatic and developmental pressures (2020)
- iii. Partial stabilisation without full vulnerability reversal (2024).

This trajectory confirms that flood vulnerability in Taman Sri Muda is not episodic but progressively shaped by cumulative environmental and urban development processes. The longitudinal comparison establishes clear temporal evolution, thereby fulfilling the fourth research objective.

4.4 Assessment of Spatial Flood Vulnerability Patterns

The assessment of spatial vulnerability distribution for 2016, 2020, and 2024. Weighted overlay integration of the five parameters generated composite flood vulnerability maps classified into five categories: very low, low, moderate, high, and very high (Fig. 8).




Fig. 8: (a) Flood-prone map of Taman Sri Muda in 2016, (b) 2020, (c) 2024

In 2016, vulnerability was predominantly low to moderate, with high-risk zones concentrated in river-adjacent northern sectors. Very high-risk areas were limited and spatially fragmented. The distribution suggests baseline structural exposure without widespread intensification. By 2020, a substantial expansion of high- and very-high-vulnerability zones occurred. The spatial footprint of very high-risk areas became more continuous, particularly in northern and central low-lying zones characterised by dense built-up coverage. This year represents the most pronounced spatial transformation within the study period.

In 2024, very high vulnerability zones contracted modestly compared to 2020, yet high-risk clusters persisted in northern areas. The spatial gradient consistently shows increasing vulnerability with proximity to the Klang River, lower elevations, and dense urban areas. The spatial outputs clearly delineate vulnerability concentrations and demonstrate the effectiveness of GIS-based weighted overlay analysis in identifying high-risk zones, thereby fulfilling the third research objective.

5.0 Discussion

The findings demonstrate that flood vulnerability in Taman Sri Muda is not merely a consequence of episodic climatic shocks but reflects a structurally embedded condition shaped by cumulative interactions between hydrological intensity and urban morphology. Although rainfall emerged as the dominant triggering parameter, the substantial combined influence of elevation, slope, and land use indicates that terrain constraints and built-environment configuration regulate the spatial concentration and persistence of risk (Adegun, 2023). This confirms that flood exposure is spatially conditioned rather than randomly distributed.

The pronounced escalation observed in 2020, followed by partial stabilisation in 2024, illustrates a vulnerability trajectory shaped by both climatic extremity and incremental spatial adaptation. However, the persistence of high-risk clusters in low-lying, densely built northern sectors suggests that infrastructural mitigation alone cannot offset structural land-use configurations. In this respect, vulnerability becomes path-dependent, reflecting accumulated spatial decisions over time.

From an environment-behaviour perspective, recurrent flood exposure functions as a chronic environmental stressor influencing mobility patterns, residential decision-making, and risk perception. The longitudinal GIS-AHP framework advances current scholarship by empirically demonstrating how environmental drivers and urban development co-produce evolving vulnerability patterns. Rather than treating flood risk as a static spatial attribute, the study conceptualises it as a dynamic governance challenge that requires adaptive spatial planning, land-use controls, and the integration of nature-based infrastructure. These findings underscore the need to align urban expansion strategies with hydrological realities to prevent the reinforcement of structurally embedded flood risk in rapidly urbanising river basins (Daksiya et al., 2021).

6.0 Conclusion and Recommendations

This study demonstrates that urban flood vulnerability in Taman Sri Muda is structurally embedded within the interaction between climatic intensity and cumulative land-use transformation. By adopting a longitudinal GIS-AHP framework, the research moves beyond static susceptibility mapping to reveal an escalation-stabilisation trajectory across 2016, 2020, and 2024. The findings confirm rainfall as the principal triggering factor, while elevation, slope, and LULC collectively condition the spatial persistence and concentration of high-risk zones. Vulnerability, therefore, emerges not as an episodic anomaly but as a path-dependent outcome of spatial planning decisions and hydrological constraints.

The study advances environment-behaviour scholarship by empirically demonstrating how recurrent environmental stressors progressively reshape exposure patterns within dense river basin settlements. The integration of temporal modelling with structured parameter weighting provides a transferable methodological template for rapidly urbanising monsoon cities across Southeast Asia. Several limitations warrant consideration. The analysis focused on five environmental parameters and did not incorporate socio-economic vulnerability indicators such as income distribution, housing resilience, or adaptive capacity. Additionally, benchmark-year modelling may not fully capture short-term climatic variability. Although sensitivity testing confirmed model stability, AHP weighting remains partially reliant on expert judgment.

Future research should integrate socio-demographic indices, higher-resolution datasets, and climate projection scenarios to enhance predictive robustness. From a policy perspective, spatial vulnerability assessments should be embedded within land-use governance frameworks, prioritising controlled development in low-elevation zones, expansion of green infrastructure, and community-based resilience strategies. Aligning urban growth with hydrological realities is essential to prevent further entrenchment of structurally embedded flood risk in river basin cities.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to recognise the financial assistance given by the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia (MOHE) through the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS) (Grant No: FRGS/1/2024/WAS13/UITM/02/4). We express our sincere appreciation to the Malaysian Space Agency (MYSA) for providing essential geospatial data that was instrumental to the success of this research.

Paper Contribution to the Related Field of Study

This study advances environment-behaviour research in Asia by integrating spatial-temporal GIS-AHP modelling with behavioural interpretation of urban flood vulnerability. It conceptualises flood risk as a progressively embedded condition shaped by monsoon variability and rapid urbanisation rather than an episodic event. The longitudinal framework offers a transferable model for river basin cities across Southeast Asia, supporting adaptive spatial governance, green infrastructure integration, and behaviour-informed urban resilience strategies in high-density monsoon.

References

- Abdo, H. G., Darwish, K. S., Bindajam, A. A., Niknam, A., Youssef, Y. M., Ahmed, M. F. M., & Mallick, J. (2024). Detection of flood vulnerable areas in urban basins using multi-criteria analysis and geospatial tools: A case study from eastern Mediterranean. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 83(17), 524.
- Adegun, O. B. (2023). Climatic disasters within a flood-prone coastal slum in Lagos: Coping capacities and adaptation prospects. *International Journal of Disaster Resilience in the Built Environment*, 14(2), 212–228.
- Afreen, M., Haq, F., & Mukhtar, Z. (2022). Flood susceptibility analysis of the Panjkora Valley, Northern Pakistan, using frequency ratio approach. *International Journal of Disaster Resilience in the Built Environment*, 13(5), 601–614.
- Aidinidou, M. T., Kparis, K., & Georgiou, A. C. (2023). Analysis, prioritization and strategic planning of flood mitigation projects based on sustainability dimensions and a spatial/value AHP-GIS system. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 211, 118566.
- Akhter, S., Rahman, M. M., & Monir, M. M. (2025). Flood susceptibility analysis for sustainable development using MCDA and support vector machine models by GIS in the selected area of the Teesta River floodplain, Bangladesh. *HydroResearch*, 8, 127–138.
- Akidele, O., Ajayi, S., Oyegoke, A. S., Alaka, H. A., & Omotayo, T. (2025). Application of geographic information system (GIS) in construction: A systematic review. *Smart and Sustainable Built Environment*, 14(1), 210–236.
- Amir Abdullah, M. K. A. (2023). Persepsi penduduk terhadap faktor bencana banjir: Kajian kes di Taman Sri Muda, Shah Alam, Selangor. *Progress in Engineering Application and Technology*, 4(2), 611–617.
- Ashfaq, S., Tufail, M., Niaz, A., Muhammad, S., Alzahrani, H., & Tariq, A. (2025). Flood susceptibility assessment and mapping using GIS-based analytical hierarchy process and frequency ratio models. *Global and Planetary Change*, 251, 104831.

- Aslan, V. (2023). Evaluation of Birecik basin flood detection with GIS-assisted AHP methods. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 27(7), 2891–2907.
- Bhuiyan, T. R., Er, A. C., Muhamad, N., & Pereira, J. J. (2022). Evaluating the cumulative costs of small-scale flash floods in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. *Journal of Hydrology*, 612, 128181.
- Burayu, D. G., Karuppanan, S., & Shuniye, G. (2023). Identifying flood vulnerable and risk areas using the integration of analytical hierarchy process (AHP), GIS, and remote sensing: A case study of southern Oromia region. *Urban Climate*, 51, 101640.
- da Silva, L. B. L., Humberto, J. S., Alencar, M. H., Ferreira, R. J. P., & de Almeida, A. T. (2020). GIS-based multidimensional decision model for enhancing flood risk prioritization in urban areas. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 48, 101582.
- Daksiya, V., Mandapaka, P. V., & Lo, E. Y. (2021). Effect of climate change and urbanisation on flood protection decision-making. *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, 14(1), e12681.
- Guo, Z., Zhang, Y., Wang, L., Shi, L., Zhou, X., Wang, H., Cheng, M., & Chen, G. (2025). Distribution and temporal–spatial characteristics of geohazards in a rainstorm-prone area of southeast China considering land use. *Biogeotechnics*, 100184.
- Idris, R., Saleh, M. T. M., & Esquivias, M. A. (2025). Catastrophic flood mapping: A geospatial analysis for strategic urban planning. *Journal of Design and Built Environment*, Special Issue VII, 45–60.
- Jung, K., Yoo, J., Shin, S., Kim, J., & Min, I. (2025). Forward-looking flood defense based on high-resolution risk assessment: Lessons from the Nakdong River Basin. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 376, 124526.
- Kaya, C. M., & Derin, L. (2023). Parameters and methods used in flood susceptibility mapping: A review. *Journal of Water and Climate Change*, 14(6), 1935–1960.
- Pakati, S. S., Shoko, C., & Dube, T. (2025). Integrated flood modelling and risk assessment in urban areas: Applications, strengths, limitations and future research directions. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 61, 102583.
- Rashidiyan, M., & Rahimzadegan, M. (2024). Investigation and evaluation of land use–land cover change effects on current and future flood susceptibility. *Natural Hazards Review*, 25(1), 04023049.
- Sukri, N. S. M., Mauluda, K. N. A., Rahman, S. A. F. S. A., Wan, W. S., Jaafar, M., Mohtar, W. H. M. W., Khalid, R. M., Saudi, A. S. M., & Khan, M. N. (2025). Enhancing urban flood vulnerability mapping with multi-criteria decision analysis. *Jurnal Kejuruteraan*, 37(7), 3641–3654.
- Tierolf, L., de Moel, H., & van Vliet, J. (2021). Modeling urban development and its exposure to river flood risk in Southeast Asia. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 87, 101620.
- Tufail, M., Nasir, M. J., Tariq, A., Ali, Z., & Ashfaq, S. (2025). Geospatial assessment of groundwater vulnerability to pollution using the DRASTIC and AHP model in flood-affected area, Nowshera, Pakistan. *Solid Earth Sciences*, 10(2), 100239.
- Zhang, Q., Wu, Z., Zhang, H., Dalla Fontana, G., & Tarolli, P. (2020). Identifying dominant factors of waterlogging events in metropolitan coastal cities: The case study of Guangzhou, China. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 271, 110951.
- Zhou, Q., Su, J., Arnbjerg-Nielsen, K., Ren, Y., Luo, J., Ye, Z., & Feng, J. (2021). A GIS-based hydrological modeling approach for rapid urban flood hazard assessment. *Water*, 13(11), 1483.

Note: Online license transfer

All authors are required to complete the E-B Proceedings exclusive license transfer agreement before the article can be published. This transfer agreement enables e-IPH, Ltd., UK to protect the copyrighted material for the authors, but does not relinquish the authors' proprietary rights. The copyright transfer covers the exclusive rights to reproduce and distribute the article, including reprints, photographic reproductions, microfilm or any other reproductions of similar nature and translations. Authors are responsible for obtaining from the copyright holder, the permission to reproduce any figures for which copyright exists.